

the right to proceed by arbitration in termination cases or cases where the City of Chicago is seeking a suspension of more than 365 days.

3. On August 11, 2023, following the issuance of the Supplemental Interim Opinion and Award, The Lodge filed on the behalf of the Petitioner, a two-part motion to transfer this case to the arbitration call or in the alternative to stay all pending proceedings. The purpose of this motion was to preserve the Petitioner's fundamental right to arbitration. On September 26, 2023 the Chicago Police Board denied that motion.
4. On October 19, 2023 the Neutral Chair issued a Final Opinion and Award which was sent to the City Council of the City of Chicago for ratification pursuant to the Collective Bargaining Agreement. The City Council refused to accept the Final Opinion and Award and it failed to ratify the award.
5. On January 4, 2024, The Lodge filed a verified complaint in the Circuit Court of Cook County seeking to enforce the Final Opinion and Award and sometime thereafter the City of Chicago filed an answer and counter claim. A motion to dismiss the counterclaim was filed by The Lodge and cross-motions for summary judgement were filed by both parties.
6. After extensive briefing and oral argument, the circuit court issued a 26 page decision where it held that Chicago Police Officers who are subject to termination or a suspensions of more than 365 days have an absolute right to grievance arbitration thus enforcing the Final Opinion and Award.
7. Specifically, the Court ordered:

As the City of Chicago is required by the terms of the Supplemental Final Opinion and Award to offer any police officer, who is protesting a suspension in excess of 365 days and separations (dismissal), with the option to present any grievance to final and binding arbitration instead of having the Chicago Police Board decide the disciplinary actions, the City of Chicago is hereby enjoined and prohibited from conducting any such

disciplinary hearings before the Chicago Police Board unless any officer so charged on or after September 14, 2002, has consented to such a procedure. This order applies to *all* pending disciplinary hearings that have not proceeded to an evidentiary hearing.

Memorandum Opinion and Order, Hon. Judge Michael T. Mullens March 21, 2024 24CH00093.

8. Petitioner has filed a grievance seeking to arbitrate this case. This request is clearly within the parameters and consistent with Judge Mullen's ruling and he is exercising his right to proceed by grievance arbitration on the charges filed before this Police Board.
9. Upon this election, the Chicago Police Board has lost jurisdiction of this case based upon the circuit court's order and all charges should be immediately dismissed from its docket.

WHEREFORE, Chicago Police Officer Eulalio Rodriguez by and through his attorneys of record, TIMOTHY M. GRACE and JAMES E. THOMPSON, respectfully request that this Chicago Police Board Transfer this Matter to the Arbitration Call and Terminate all Chicago Police Board Proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Timothy M. Grace
Timothy M. Grace

/s/James E. Thompson
James E. Thompson

Grace & Thompson
311 W. Superior St., Ste. 215
Chicago, Illinois 60654
P: (312) 943-0600 F: (312) 943-7133
connorgrace@aol.com

the right to proceed by arbitration in termination cases or cases where the City of Chicago is seeking a suspension of more than 365 days.

3. On August 11, 2023, following the issuance of the Supplemental Interim Opinion and Award, The Lodge filed on the behalf of the Petitioner, a two-part motion to transfer this case to the arbitration call or in the alternative to stay all pending proceedings. The purpose of this motion was to preserve the Petitioner's fundamental right to arbitration. On September 26, 2023 the Chicago Police Board denied that motion.
4. On October 19, 2023 the Neutral Chair issued a Final Opinion and Award which was sent to the City Council of the City of Chicago for ratification pursuant to the Collective Bargaining Agreement. The City Council refused to accept the Final Opinion and Award and it failed to ratify the award.
5. On January 4, 2024, The Lodge filed a verified complaint in the Circuit Court of Cook County seeking to enforce the Final Opinion and Award and sometime thereafter the City of Chicago filed an answer and counter claim. A motion to dismiss the counterclaim was filed by The Lodge and cross-motions for summary judgement were filed by both parties.
6. After extensive briefing and oral argument, the circuit court issued a 26 page decision where it held that Chicago Police Officers who are subject to termination or a suspensions of more than 365 days have an absolute right to grievance arbitration thus enforcing the Final Opinion and Award.
7. Specifically, the Court ordered:

As the City of Chicago is required by the terms of the Supplemental Final Opinion and Award to offer any police officer, who is protesting a suspension in excess of 365 days and separations (dismissal), with the option to present any grievance to final and binding arbitration instead of having the Chicago Police Board decide the disciplinary actions, the City of Chicago is hereby enjoined and prohibited from conducting any such

disciplinary hearings before the Chicago Police Board unless any officer so charged on or after September 14, 2002, has consented to such a procedure. This order applies to *all* pending disciplinary hearings that have not proceeded to an evidentiary hearing.

Memorandum Opinion and Order, Hon. Judge Michael T. Mullens March 21, 2024 24CH00093.

8. Petitioner has filed a grievance seeking to arbitrate this case. This request is clearly within the parameters and consistent with Judge Mullen's ruling and he is exercising his right to proceed by grievance arbitration on the charges filed before this Police Board.
9. Upon this election, the Chicago Police Board has lost jurisdiction of this case based upon the circuit court's order and all charges should be immediately dismissed from its docket.

WHEREFORE, Chicago Police Officer Amin Elmesquine by and through his attorneys of record, TIMOTHY M. GRACE and JAMES E. THOMPSON, respectfully request that this Chicago Police Board Transfer this Matter to the Arbitration Call and Terminate all Chicago Police Board Proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Timothy M. Grace
Timothy M. Grace

/s/James E. Thompson
James E. Thompson

Grace & Thompson
311 W. Superior St., Ste. 215
Chicago, Illinois 60654
P: (312) 943-0600 F: (312) 943-7133
connorgrace@aol.com

the right to proceed by arbitration in termination cases or cases where the City of Chicago is seeking a suspension of more than 365 days.

3. On August 11, 2023, following the issuance of the Supplemental Interim Opinion and Award, The Lodge filed on the behalf of the Petitioner, a two-part motion to transfer this case to the arbitration call or in the alternative to stay all pending proceedings. The purpose of this motion was to preserve the Petitioner's fundamental right to arbitration. On September 26, 2023 the Chicago Police Board denied that motion.
4. On October 19, 2023 the Neutral Chair issued a Final Opinion and Award which was sent to the City Council of the City of Chicago for ratification pursuant to the Collective Bargaining Agreement. The City Council refused to accept the Final Opinion and Award and it failed to ratify the award.
5. On January 4, 2024, The Lodge filed a verified complaint in the Circuit Court of Cook County seeking to enforce the Final Opinion and Award and sometime thereafter the City of Chicago filed an answer and counter claim. A motion to dismiss the counterclaim was filed by The Lodge and cross-motions for summary judgement were filed by both parties.
6. After extensive briefing and oral argument, the circuit court issued a 26 page decision where it held that Chicago Police Officers who are subject to termination or a suspensions of more than 365 days have an absolute right to grievance arbitration thus enforcing the Final Opinion and Award.
7. Specifically, the Court ordered:

As the City of Chicago is required by the terms of the Supplemental Final Opinion and Award to offer any police officer, who is protesting a suspension in excess of 365 days and separations (dismissal), with the option to present any grievance to final and binding arbitration instead of having the Chicago Police Board decide the disciplinary actions, the City of Chicago is hereby enjoined and prohibited from conducting any such

disciplinary hearings before the Chicago Police Board unless any officer so charged on or after September 14, 2002, has consented to such a procedure. This order applies to *all* pending disciplinary hearings that have not proceeded to an evidentiary hearing.

Memorandum Opinion and Order, Hon. Judge Michael T. Mullens March 21, 2024 24CH00093.

8. Petitioner has filed a grievance seeking to arbitrate this case. This request is clearly within the parameters and consistent with Judge Mullen's ruling and he is exercising his right to proceed by grievance arbitration on the charges filed before this Police Board.
9. Upon this election, the Chicago Police Board has lost jurisdiction of this case based upon the circuit court's order and all charges should be immediately dismissed from its docket.

WHEREFORE, Chicago Police Officer Noble Williams by and through his attorneys of record, TIMOTHY M. GRACE and JAMES E. THOMPSON, respectfully request that this Chicago Police Board Transfer this Matter to the Arbitration Call and Terminate all Chicago Police Board Proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Timothy M. Grace
Timothy M. Grace

/s/James E. Thompson
James E. Thompson

Grace & Thompson
311 W. Superior St., Ste. 215
Chicago, Illinois 60654
P: (312) 943-0600 F: (312) 943-7133
connorgrace@aol.com

the right to proceed by arbitration in termination cases or cases where the City of Chicago is seeking a suspension of more than 365 days.

3. On August 11, 2023, following the issuance of the Supplemental Interim Opinion and Award, The Lodge filed on the behalf of the Petitioner, a two-part motion to transfer this case to the arbitration call or in the alternative to stay all pending proceedings. The purpose of this motion was to preserve the Petitioner's fundamental right to arbitration. On September 26, 2023 the Chicago Police Board denied that motion.
4. On October 19, 2023 the Neutral Chair issued a Final Opinion and Award which was sent to the City Council of the City of Chicago for ratification pursuant to the Collective Bargaining Agreement. The City Council refused to accept the Final Opinion and Award and it failed to ratify the award.
5. On January 4, 2024, The Lodge filed a verified complaint in the Circuit Court of Cook County seeking to enforce the Final Opinion and Award and sometime thereafter the City of Chicago filed an answer and counter claim. A motion to dismiss the counterclaim was filed by The Lodge and cross-motions for summary judgement were filed by both parties.
6. After extensive briefing and oral argument, the circuit court issued a 26 page decision where it held that Chicago Police Officers who are subject to termination or a suspensions of more than 365 days have an absolute right to grievance arbitration thus enforcing the Final Opinion and Award.
7. Specifically, the Court ordered:

As the City of Chicago is required by the terms of the Supplemental Final Opinion and Award to offer any police officer, who is protesting a suspension in excess of 365 days and separations (dismissal), with the option to present any grievance to final and binding arbitration instead of having the Chicago Police Board decide the disciplinary actions, the City of Chicago is hereby enjoined and prohibited from conducting any such

disciplinary hearings before the Chicago Police Board unless any officer so charged on or after September 14, 2002, has consented to such a procedure. This order applies to *all* pending disciplinary hearings that have not proceeded to an evidentiary hearing.

Memorandum Opinion and Order, Hon. Judge Michael T. Mullens March 21, 2024 24CH00093.

8. Petitioner has filed a grievance seeking to arbitrate this case. This request is clearly within the parameters and consistent with Judge Mullen's ruling and he is exercising his right to proceed by grievance arbitration on the charges filed before this Police Board.
9. Upon this election, the Chicago Police Board has lost jurisdiction of this case based upon the circuit court's order and all charges should be immediately dismissed from its docket.

WHEREFORE, Chicago Police Officer Daniel Barnak by and through his attorneys of record, TIMOTHY M. GRACE and JAMES E. THOMPSON, respectfully request that this Chicago Police Board Transfer this Matter to the Arbitration Call and Terminate all Chicago Police Board Proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Timothy M. Grace
Timothy M. Grace

/s/James E. Thompson
James E. Thompson

Grace & Thompson
311 W. Superior St., Ste. 215
Chicago, Illinois 60654
P: (312) 943-0600 F: (312) 943-7133
connorgrace@aol.com

the right to proceed by arbitration in termination cases or cases where the City of Chicago is seeking a suspension of more than 365 days.

3. On August 11, 2023, following the issuance of the Supplemental Interim Opinion and Award, The Lodge filed on the behalf of the Petitioner, a two-part motion to transfer this case to the arbitration call or in the alternative to stay all pending proceedings. The purpose of this motion was to preserve the Petitioner's fundamental right to arbitration. On September 26, 2023 the Chicago Police Board denied that motion.
4. On October 19, 2023 the Neutral Chair issued a Final Opinion and Award which was sent to the City Council of the City of Chicago for ratification pursuant to the Collective Bargaining Agreement. The City Council refused to accept the Final Opinion and Award and it failed to ratify the award.
5. On January 4, 2024, The Lodge filed a verified complaint in the Circuit Court of Cook County seeking to enforce the Final Opinion and Award and sometime thereafter the City of Chicago filed an answer and counter claim. A motion to dismiss the counterclaim was filed by The Lodge and cross-motions for summary judgement were filed by both parties.
6. After extensive briefing and oral argument, the circuit court issued a 26 page decision where it held that Chicago Police Officers who are subject to termination or a suspensions of more than 365 days have an absolute right to grievance arbitration thus enforcing the Final Opinion and Award.
7. Specifically, the Court ordered:

As the City of Chicago is required by the terms of the Supplemental Final Opinion and Award to offer any police officer, who is protesting a suspension in excess of 365 days and separations (dismissal), with the option to present any grievance to final and binding arbitration instead of having the Chicago Police Board decide the disciplinary actions, the City of Chicago is hereby enjoined and prohibited from conducting any such

disciplinary hearings before the Chicago Police Board unless any officer so charged on or after September 14, 2002, has consented to such a procedure. This order applies to *all* pending disciplinary hearings that have not proceeded to an evidentiary hearing.

Memorandum Opinion and Order, Hon. Judge Michael T. Mullens March 21, 2024 24CH00093.

8. Petitioner has filed a grievance seeking to arbitrate this case. This request is clearly within the parameters and consistent with Judge Mullen's ruling and she is exercising her right to proceed by grievance arbitration on the charges filed before this Police Board.
9. Upon this election, the Chicago Police Board has lost jurisdiction of this case based upon the circuit court's order and all charges should be immediately dismissed from its docket.

WHEREFORE, Chicago Police Officer Adrianna Kondilis Bolanos by and through her attorneys of record, TIMOTHY M. GRACE and JAMES E. THOMPSON, respectfully request that this Chicago Police Board Transfer this Matter to the Arbitration Call and Terminate all Chicago Police Board Proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Timothy M. Grace
Timothy M. Grace

/s/James E. Thompson
James E. Thompson

Grace & Thompson
311 W. Superior St., Ste. 215
Chicago, Illinois 60654
P: (312) 943-0600 F: (312) 943-7133
connorgrace@aol.com

the right to proceed by arbitration in termination cases or cases where the City of Chicago is seeking a suspension of more than 365 days.

3. On August 11, 2023, following the issuance of the Supplemental Interim Opinion and Award, The Lodge filed on the behalf of the Petitioner, a two-part motion to transfer this case to the arbitration call or in the alternative to stay all pending proceedings. The purpose of this motion was to preserve the Petitioner's fundamental right to arbitration. On September 26, 2023 the Chicago Police Board denied that motion.
4. On October 19, 2023 the Neutral Chair issued a Final Opinion and Award which was sent to the City Council of the City of Chicago for ratification pursuant to the Collective Bargaining Agreement. The City Council refused to accept the Final Opinion and Award and it failed to ratify the award.
5. On January 4, 2024, The Lodge filed a verified complaint in the Circuit Court of Cook County seeking to enforce the Final Opinion and Award and sometime thereafter the City of Chicago filed an answer and counter claim. A motion to dismiss the counterclaim was filed by The Lodge and cross-motions for summary judgement were filed by both parties.
6. After extensive briefing and oral argument, the circuit court issued a 26 page decision where it held that Chicago Police Officers who are subject to termination or a suspensions of more than 365 days have an absolute right to grievance arbitration thus enforcing the Final Opinion and Award.
7. Specifically, the Court ordered:

As the City of Chicago is required by the terms of the Supplemental Final Opinion and Award to offer any police officer, who is protesting a suspension in excess of 365 days and separations (dismissal), with the option to present any grievance to final and binding arbitration instead of having the Chicago Police Board decide the disciplinary actions, the City of Chicago is hereby enjoined and prohibited from conducting any such

disciplinary hearings before the Chicago Police Board unless any officer so charged on or after September 14, 2002, has consented to such a procedure. This order applies to *all* pending disciplinary hearings that have not proceeded to an evidentiary hearing.

Memorandum Opinion and Order, Hon. Judge Michael T. Mullens March 21, 2024 24CH00093.

8. Petitioner has filed a grievance seeking to arbitrate this case. This request is clearly within the parameters and consistent with Judge Mullen's ruling and he is exercising his right to proceed by grievance arbitration on the charges filed before this Police Board.
9. Upon this election, the Chicago Police Board has lost jurisdiction of this case based upon the circuit court's order and all charges should be immediately dismissed from its docket.

WHEREFORE, Chicago Police Officer Eric Stillman by and through his attorneys of record, TIMOTHY M. GRACE and JAMES E. THOMPSON, respectfully request that this Chicago Police Board Transfer this Matter to the Arbitration Call and Terminate all Chicago Police Board Proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Timothy M. Grace
Timothy M. Grace

/s/James E. Thompson
James E. Thompson

Grace & Thompson
311 W. Superior St., Ste. 215
Chicago, Illinois 60654
P: (312) 943-0600 F: (312) 943-7133
connorgrace@aol.com

the right to proceed by arbitration in termination cases or cases where the City of Chicago is seeking a suspension of more than 365 days.

3. On August 11, 2023, following the issuance of the Supplemental Interim Opinion and Award, The Lodge filed on the behalf of the Petitioner, a two-part motion to transfer this case to the arbitration call or in the alternative to stay all pending proceedings. The purpose of this motion was to preserve the Petitioner's fundamental right to arbitration. On September 26, 2023 the Chicago Police Board denied that motion.
4. On October 19, 2023 the Neutral Chair issued a Final Opinion and Award which was sent to the City Council of the City of Chicago for ratification pursuant to the Collective Bargaining Agreement. The City Council refused to accept the Final Opinion and Award and it failed to ratify the award.
5. On January 4, 2024, The Lodge filed a verified complaint in the Circuit Court of Cook County seeking to enforce the Final Opinion and Award and sometime thereafter the City of Chicago filed an answer and counter claim. A motion to dismiss the counterclaim was filed by The Lodge and cross-motions for summary judgement were filed by both parties.
6. After extensive briefing and oral argument, the circuit court issued a 26 page decision where it held that Chicago Police Officers who are subject to termination or a suspensions of more than 365 days have an absolute right to grievance arbitration thus enforcing the Final Opinion and Award.
7. Specifically, the Court ordered:

As the City of Chicago is required by the terms of the Supplemental Final Opinion and Award to offer any police officer, who is protesting a suspension in excess of 365 days and separations (dismissal), with the option to present any grievance to final and binding arbitration instead of having the Chicago Police Board decide the disciplinary actions, the City of Chicago is hereby enjoined and prohibited from conducting any such

disciplinary hearings before the Chicago Police Board unless any officer so charged on or after September 14, 2002, has consented to such a procedure. This order applies to *all* pending disciplinary hearings that have not proceeded to an evidentiary hearing.

Memorandum Opinion and Order, Hon. Judge Michael T. Mullens March 21, 2024 24CH00093.

8. Petitioner has filed a grievance seeking to arbitrate this case. This request is clearly within the parameters and consistent with Judge Mullen's ruling and he is exercising his right to proceed by grievance arbitration on the charges filed before this Police Board.
9. Upon this election, the Chicago Police Board has lost jurisdiction of this case based upon the circuit court's order and all charges should be immediately dismissed from its docket.

WHEREFORE, Chicago Police Officer Mark Johnson by and through his attorneys of record, TIMOTHY M. GRACE and JAMES E. THOMPSON, respectfully request that this Chicago Police Board Transfer this Matter to the Arbitration Call and Terminate all Chicago Police Board Proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Timothy M. Grace
Timothy M. Grace

/s/James E. Thompson
James E. Thompson

Grace & Thompson
311 W. Superior St., Ste. 215
Chicago, Illinois 60654
P: (312) 943-0600 F: (312) 943-7133
connorgrace@aol.com

the right to proceed by arbitration in termination cases or cases where the City of Chicago is seeking a suspension of more than 365 days.

3. On August 11, 2023, following the issuance of the Supplemental Interim Opinion and Award, The Lodge filed on the behalf of the Petitioner, a two-part motion to transfer this case to the arbitration call or in the alternative to stay all pending proceedings. The purpose of this motion was to preserve the Petitioner's fundamental right to arbitration. On September 26, 2023 the Chicago Police Board denied that motion.
4. On October 19, 2023 the Neutral Chair issued a Final Opinion and Award which was sent to the City Council of the City of Chicago for ratification pursuant to the Collective Bargaining Agreement. The City Council refused to accept the Final Opinion and Award and it failed to ratify the award.
5. On January 4, 2024, The Lodge filed a verified complaint in the Circuit Court of Cook County seeking to enforce the Final Opinion and Award and sometime thereafter the City of Chicago filed an answer and counter claim. A motion to dismiss the counterclaim was filed by The Lodge and cross-motions for summary judgement were filed by both parties.
6. After extensive briefing and oral argument, the circuit court issued a 26 page decision where it held that Chicago Police Officers who are subject to termination or a suspensions of more than 365 days have an absolute right to grievance arbitration thus enforcing the Final Opinion and Award.
7. Specifically, the Court ordered:

As the City of Chicago is required by the terms of the Supplemental Final Opinion and Award to offer any police officer, who is protesting a suspension in excess of 365 days and separations (dismissal), with the option to present any grievance to final and binding arbitration instead of having the Chicago Police Board decide the disciplinary actions, the City of Chicago is hereby enjoined and prohibited from conducting any such

disciplinary hearings before the Chicago Police Board unless any officer so charged on or after September 14, 2002, has consented to such a procedure. This order applies to *all* pending disciplinary hearings that have not proceeded to an evidentiary hearing.

Memorandum Opinion and Order, Hon. Judge Michael T. Mullens March 21, 2024 24CH00093.

8. Petitioner has filed a grievance seeking to arbitrate this case. This request is clearly within the parameters and consistent with Judge Mullen's ruling and he is exercising his right to proceed by grievance arbitration on the charges filed before this Police Board.
9. Upon this election, the Chicago Police Board has lost jurisdiction of this case based upon the circuit court's order and all charges should be immediately dismissed from its docket.

WHEREFORE, Chicago Police Officer Patrick Bunyon by and through his attorneys of record, TIMOTHY M. GRACE and JAMES E. THOMPSON, respectfully request that this Chicago Police Board Transfer this Matter to the Arbitration Call and Terminate all Chicago Police Board Proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Timothy M. Grace
Timothy M. Grace

/s/James E. Thompson
James E. Thompson

Grace & Thompson
311 W. Superior St., Ste. 215
Chicago, Illinois 60654
P: (312) 943-0600 F: (312) 943-7133
connorgrace@aol.com

the right to proceed by arbitration in termination cases or cases where the City of Chicago is seeking a suspension of more than 365 days.

3. On August 11, 2023, following the issuance of the Supplemental Interim Opinion and Award, The Lodge filed on the behalf of the Petitioner, a two-part motion to transfer this case to the arbitration call or in the alternative to stay all pending proceedings. The purpose of this motion was to preserve the Petitioner's fundamental right to arbitration. On September 26, 2023 the Chicago Police Board denied that motion.
4. On October 19, 2023 the Neutral Chair issued a Final Opinion and Award which was sent to the City Council of the City of Chicago for ratification pursuant to the Collective Bargaining Agreement. The City Council refused to accept the Final Opinion and Award and it failed to ratify the award.
5. On January 4, 2024, The Lodge filed a verified complaint in the Circuit Court of Cook County seeking to enforce the Final Opinion and Award and sometime thereafter the City of Chicago filed an answer and counter claim. A motion to dismiss the counterclaim was filed by The Lodge and cross-motions for summary judgement were filed by both parties.
6. After extensive briefing and oral argument, the circuit court issued a 26 page decision where it held that Chicago Police Officers who are subject to termination or a suspensions of more than 365 days have an absolute right to grievance arbitration thus enforcing the Final Opinion and Award.
7. Specifically, the Court ordered:

As the City of Chicago is required by the terms of the Supplemental Final Opinion and Award to offer any police officer, who is protesting a suspension in excess of 365 days and separations (dismissal), with the option to present any grievance to final and binding arbitration instead of having the Chicago Police Board decide the disciplinary actions, the City of Chicago is hereby enjoined and prohibited from conducting any such

disciplinary hearings before the Chicago Police Board unless any officer so charged on or after September 14, 2002, has consented to such a procedure. This order applies to *all* pending disciplinary hearings that have not proceeded to an evidentiary hearing.

Memorandum Opinion and Order, Hon. Judge Michael T. Mullens March 21, 2024 24CH00093.

8. Petitioner has filed a grievance seeking to arbitrate this case. This request is clearly within the parameters and consistent with Judge Mullen's ruling and he is exercising his right to proceed by grievance arbitration on the charges filed before this Police Board.
9. Upon this election, the Chicago Police Board has lost jurisdiction of this case based upon the circuit court's order and all charges should be immediately dismissed from its docket.

WHEREFORE, Chicago Police Officer Kris Stipanov by and through his attorneys of record, TIMOTHY M. GRACE and JAMES E. THOMPSON, respectfully request that this Chicago Police Board Transfer this Matter to the Arbitration Call and Terminate all Chicago Police Board Proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Timothy M. Grace
Timothy M. Grace

/s/James E. Thompson
James E. Thompson

Grace & Thompson
311 W. Superior St., Ste. 215
Chicago, Illinois 60654
P: (312) 943-0600 F: (312) 943-7133
connorgrace@aol.com

the right to proceed by arbitration in termination cases or cases where the City of Chicago is seeking a suspension of more than 365 days.

3. On August 11, 2023, following the issuance of the Supplemental Interim Opinion and Award, The Lodge filed on the behalf of the Petitioner, a two-part motion to transfer this case to the arbitration call or in the alternative to stay all pending proceedings. The purpose of this motion was to preserve the Petitioner's fundamental right to arbitration. On September 26, 2023 the Chicago Police Board denied that motion.
4. On October 19, 2023 the Neutral Chair issued a Final Opinion and Award which was sent to the City Council of the City of Chicago for ratification pursuant to the Collective Bargaining Agreement. The City Council refused to accept the Final Opinion and Award and it failed to ratify the award.
5. On January 4, 2024, The Lodge filed a verified complaint in the Circuit Court of Cook County seeking to enforce the Final Opinion and Award and sometime thereafter the City of Chicago filed an answer and counter claim. A motion to dismiss the counterclaim was filed by The Lodge and cross-motions for summary judgement were filed by both parties.
6. After extensive briefing and oral argument, the circuit court issued a 26 page decision where it held that Chicago Police Officers who are subject to termination or a suspensions of more than 365 days have an absolute right to grievance arbitration thus enforcing the Final Opinion and Award.
7. Specifically, the Court ordered:

As the City of Chicago is required by the terms of the Supplemental Final Opinion and Award to offer any police officer, who is protesting a suspension in excess of 365 days and separations (dismissal), with the option to present any grievance to final and binding arbitration instead of having the Chicago Police Board decide the disciplinary actions, the City of Chicago is hereby enjoined and prohibited from conducting any such

disciplinary hearings before the Chicago Police Board unless any officer so charged on or after September 14, 2002, has consented to such a procedure. This order applies to *all* pending disciplinary hearings that have not proceeded to an evidentiary hearing.

Memorandum Opinion and Order, Hon. Judge Michael T. Mullens March 21, 2024 24CH00093.

8. Petitioner has filed a grievance seeking to arbitrate this case. This request is clearly within the parameters and consistent with Judge Mullen's ruling and she is exercising her right to proceed by grievance arbitration on the charges filed before this Police Board.
9. Upon this election, the Chicago Police Board has lost jurisdiction of this case based upon the circuit court's order and all charges should be immediately dismissed from its docket.

WHEREFORE, Chicago Police Officer Bernadette Kelly and through her attorneys of record, TIMOTHY M. GRACE and JAMES E. THOMPSON, respectfully request that this Chicago Police Board Transfer this Matter to the Arbitration Call and Terminate all Chicago Police Board Proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Timothy M. Grace
Timothy M. Grace

/s/James E. Thompson
James E. Thompson

Grace & Thompson
311 W. Superior St., Ste. 215
Chicago, Illinois 60654
P: (312) 943-0600 F: (312) 943-7133
connorgrace@aol.com

the right to proceed by arbitration in termination cases or cases where the City of Chicago is seeking a suspension of more than 365 days.

3. On August 11, 2023, following the issuance of the Supplemental Interim Opinion and Award, The Lodge filed on the behalf of the Petitioner, a two-part motion to transfer this case to the arbitration call or in the alternative to stay all pending proceedings. The purpose of this motion was to preserve the Petitioner's fundamental right to arbitration. On September 26, 2023 the Chicago Police Board denied that motion.
4. On October 19, 2023 the Neutral Chair issued a Final Opinion and Award which was sent to the City Council of the City of Chicago for ratification pursuant to the Collective Bargaining Agreement. The City Council refused to accept the Final Opinion and Award and it failed to ratify the award.
5. On January 4, 2024, The Lodge filed a verified complaint in the Circuit Court of Cook County seeking to enforce the Final Opinion and Award and sometime thereafter the City of Chicago filed an answer and counter claim. A motion to dismiss the counterclaim was filed by The Lodge and cross-motions for summary judgement were filed by both parties.
6. After extensive briefing and oral argument, the circuit court issued a 26 page decision where it held that Chicago Police Officers who are subject to termination or a suspensions of more than 365 days have an absolute right to grievance arbitration thus enforcing the Final Opinion and Award.
7. Specifically, the Court ordered:

As the City of Chicago is required by the terms of the Supplemental Final Opinion and Award to offer any police officer, who is protesting a suspension in excess of 365 days and separations (dismissal), with the option to present any grievance to final and binding arbitration instead of having the Chicago Police Board decide the disciplinary actions, the City of Chicago is hereby enjoined and prohibited from conducting any such

disciplinary hearings before the Chicago Police Board unless any officer so charged on or after September 14, 2002, has consented to such a procedure. This order applies to *all* pending disciplinary hearings that have not proceeded to an evidentiary hearing.

Memorandum Opinion and Order, Hon. Judge Michael T. Mullens March 21, 2024 24CH00093.

8. Petitioner has filed a grievance seeking to arbitrate this case. This request is clearly within the parameters and consistent with Judge Mullen's ruling and she is exercising her right to proceed by grievance arbitration on the charges filed before this Police Board.
9. Upon this election, the Chicago Police Board has lost jurisdiction of this case based upon the circuit court's order and all charges should be immediately dismissed from its docket.

WHEREFORE, Chicago Police Officer Stefanie Mingari by and through her attorneys of record, TIMOTHY M. GRACE and JAMES E. THOMPSON, respectfully request that this Chicago Police Board Transfer this Matter to the Arbitration Call and Terminate all Chicago Police Board Proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Timothy M. Grace
Timothy M. Grace

/s/James E. Thompson
James E. Thompson

Grace & Thompson
311 W. Superior St., Ste. 215
Chicago, Illinois 60654
P: (312) 943-0600 F: (312) 943-7133
connorgrace@aol.com

the right to proceed by arbitration in termination cases or cases where the City of Chicago is seeking a suspension of more than 365 days.

3. On August 11, 2023, following the issuance of the Supplemental Interim Opinion and Award, The Lodge filed on the behalf of the Petitioner, a two-part motion to transfer this case to the arbitration call or in the alternative to stay all pending proceedings. The purpose of this motion was to preserve the Petitioner's fundamental right to arbitration. On September 26, 2023 the Chicago Police Board denied that motion.
4. On October 19, 2023 the Neutral Chair issued a Final Opinion and Award which was sent to the City Council of the City of Chicago for ratification pursuant to the Collective Bargaining Agreement. The City Council refused to accept the Final Opinion and Award and it failed to ratify the award.
5. On January 4, 2024, The Lodge filed a verified complaint in the Circuit Court of Cook County seeking to enforce the Final Opinion and Award and sometime thereafter the City of Chicago filed an answer and counter claim. A motion to dismiss the counterclaim was filed by The Lodge and cross-motions for summary judgement were filed by both parties.
6. After extensive briefing and oral argument, the circuit court issued a 26 page decision where it held that Chicago Police Officers who are subject to termination or a suspensions of more than 365 days have an absolute right to grievance arbitration thus enforcing the Final Opinion and Award.
7. Specifically, the Court ordered:

As the City of Chicago is required by the terms of the Supplemental Final Opinion and Award to offer any police officer, who is protesting a suspension in excess of 365 days and separations (dismissal), with the option to present any grievance to final and binding arbitration instead of having the Chicago Police Board decide the disciplinary actions, the City of Chicago is hereby enjoined and prohibited from conducting any such

disciplinary hearings before the Chicago Police Board unless any officer so charged on or after September 14, 2002, has consented to such a procedure. This order applies to *all* pending disciplinary hearings that have not proceeded to an evidentiary hearing.

Memorandum Opinion and Order, Hon. Judge Michael T. Mullens March 21, 2024 24CH00093.

8. Petitioner has filed a grievance seeking to arbitrate this case. This request is clearly within the parameters and consistent with Judge Mullen's ruling and he is exercising his right to proceed by grievance arbitration on the charges filed before this Police Board.
9. Upon this election, the Chicago Police Board has lost jurisdiction of this case based upon the circuit court's order and all charges should be immediately dismissed from its docket.

WHEREFORE, Chicago Police Officer Aldolfo Bolanos by and through his attorneys of record, TIMOTHY M. GRACE and JAMES E. THOMPSON, respectfully request that this Chicago Police Board Transfer this Matter to the Arbitration Call and Terminate all Chicago Police Board Proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Timothy M. Grace
Timothy M. Grace

/s/James E. Thompson
James E. Thompson

Grace & Thompson
311 W. Superior St., Ste. 215
Chicago, Illinois 60654
P: (312) 943-0600 F: (312) 943-7133
connorgrace@aol.com