# 2006 Annual Report

# Central Loop Redevelopment Project Area



Pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)

JUNE 30, 2007



Sears Tower
233 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6301

Phone: (312) 879-2000 www.ey.com

June 30, 2007

Ms. Kathleen A. Nelson First Deputy Commissioner Department of Planning and Development 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602

### Dear Commissioner:

Enclosed is the annual report for the Central Loop Redevelopment Project Area, which we compiled at the direction of the Department of Planning and Development pursuant to Section 5(d) of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act (65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-1 et seq.), as amended. The contents are based on information provided to us by Chicago Departments of Planning and Development, Finance, and Law. We have not audited, verified, or applied agreed upon accounting and testing procedures to the data contained in this report. Therefore, we express no opinion on its accuracy or completeness.

It has been a pleasure to work with representatives from the Department of Planning and Development and other City Departments.

Very truly yours,

Ernst & Young LLP

Ernst + Young LLP

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

ANNUAL REPORT – CENTRAL LOOP REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA IN COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION (d) OF 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5.

		PAGE
LE7	TTER TO THE STATE COMPTROLLER	1
1)	DATE OF DESIGNATION OR TERMINATION	2
2)	AUDITED FINANCIALS	3
3)	MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION	4
4)	OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL	5
5)	ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND	6
6)	DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	9
7)	STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES	10
8)	DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY	17
9)	ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE	18
10)	CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORT	19
11\	CENEDAL DESCRIPTION AND MAD	20



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Planning and Development

City Hall, Room 1000 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 744-4190 (312) 744-2271 (FAX) (312) 744-2578 (TTY) http://www.cityofchicago.org June 30, 2007

The Honorable Daniel Hynes Comptroller State of Illinois Office of the Comptroller 201 Capitol Springfield, IL 62706

Dear Comptroller Hynes:

We have compiled the attached information for the Central Loop Redevelopment Project Area (Report) pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d).

Sincerely,

Kathleen A. Nelson

First Deputy Commissioner





### (1) DATE OF DESIGNATION OR TERMINATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(1.5)

The Project Area was originally designated on June 20, 1984 as the North Loop Redevelopment Project Area and was amended on February 7, 1997 to expand the boundaries of the original area and, as expanded, to rename it the Central Loop Redevelopment Project Area. The Project Area may be terminated no later than December 31, 2008.

(2) AUDITED FINANCIALS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(2)

Please see attached.

# **Deloitte.**

# City of Chicago, Illinois Central Loop Redevelopment Project

Financial Statements as of and for the Year Ended December 31, 2006, Required Supplementary Information and Additional Information for the Year Ended December 31, 2006, and Independent Auditors' Report

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT	1
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	2–3
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS OF AND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006:	
Government-Wide Statement of Net Assets and Governmental Funds Combined Balance Sheet	4
Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Combined Balance Sheet to the Government-Wide Statement of Net Assets	5
Government-Wide Statement of Activities and Governmental Funds Combined Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Net Assets and Fund Balance	6
Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Combined Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Net Assets and Fund Balance to the Government-Wide Statement of Activities	7
Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets	8
Notes to Financial Statements	9–13
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006 —	14
Schedule of Expenditures by Statutory Code	15

# **Deloitte**

Deloitte & Touche LLP 111 S. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606-4301 USA

Tel: +1 312 486 1000 Fax: +1 312 486 1486 www.deloitte.com

### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Honorable Richard M. Daley, Mayor, and Members of the City Council of City of Chicago, Illinois:

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of the City of Chicago, Illinois' (the "City") Central Loop Redevelopment Project (the "Project") as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006, as listed in the foregoing table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the City's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the respective financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the respective net assets or financial position of the government-wide activities and governmental funds and the agency fund of the Project as of December 31, 2006, and the respective changes in their financial position for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The Management's Discussion and Analysis on pages 2 to 3 is not a required part of the basic financial statements, but is supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. This supplementary information is the responsibility of the City's management. We have applied certain limited procedures that consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit such information and do not express an opinion on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the Project's basic financial statements. The additional information (page 15) is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements, but is required by the Illinois Tax Increment Financing Act of 1997. This supplementary information is the responsibility of the City's management. Such additional information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in our audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

June 21, 2007

Delatte & Touche LLP

### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

As management of the Central Loop Redevelopment Project (the "Project"), we offer the readers of the Project's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the Project's financial performance for the year ended December 31, 2006. Please read it in conjunction with the Project's financial statements and notes to the financial statements immediately following this section.

### Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the Project's basic financial statements. The Project's basic financial statements include four components: 1) Government-Wide Financial Statements, 2) Governmental Fund Financial Statements, 3) Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets and 4) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains additional information concerning the Project's expenditures as required by Illinois statutory code.

Basic Financial Statements include three kinds of financial statements that present different views of the Project — the Government-Wide Financial Statements, the Governmental Fund Financial Statements, and the Fiduciary Statement of Net Assets. These financial statements also include the notes to the financial statements that explain information in the financial statements and provide more detail.

Government-Wide Financial Statements provide both long-term and short-term information about the Project's financial status and use accounting methods similar to those used by private-sector companies. The statement of net assets includes all of the Project's assets and liabilities. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are accounted for in the statement of activities using the accrual basis of accounting. The two government-wide statements report the Project's net assets and how they have changed. Net assets — the difference between the Project's assets and liabilities — is one way to measure the Project's financial health, or position.

Governmental Fund Financial Statements provide more detailed information about the Project's significant funds — not the Project as a whole. Governmental funds focus on: 1) how cash and other financial assets can readily be converted to cash flows, and 2) the year-end balances that are available for spending. Consequently, the governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view that helps determine whether there are more financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance the Project. Such statements are prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Because this information does not encompass the additional long-term focus of the government-wide statements, we provide additional reconciling information in a separate statement to explain the relationship (or differences) between the two.

Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets accounts for resources held for the benefit of parties outside the government. Fiduciary funds are not reflected in the government-wide financial statements because the resources of those funds are not available to support the Project's own programs. The Project's fiduciary activity is presented in a separate Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets.

Notes to the Financial Statements provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements and Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets. The notes to the financial statements follow the basic financial statements.

Additional Information presents a schedule of expenditures as required by Section 11-74.4-5 of the Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act. This additional information follows the notes to the financial statements.

### Condensed Comparative Government-Wide Financial Statements

	Government-Wide Statements			%
	2006	2005	Change	Change
Total assets	\$ 336,044,415	\$ 304,232,917	\$ 31,811,498	10 %
Liabilities:				
Liabilities due within one year	87,768,826	68,701,077	19,067,749	28 %
Liabilities due after one year	155,657,522	231,006,798	(75,349,276)	(33)%
Total liabilities	243,426,348	299,707,875	(56,281,527)	(19)%
Net assets (deficit):				
Restricted for Debt Service	196,978,818	185,186,935	11,791,883	6 %
Net deficit	(104,360,751)	(180,661,893)	76,301,142	42 %
Total net assets (deficit)	\$ 92,618,067	\$ 4,525,042	\$ 88,093,025	1947 %
				4.07
Total revenues	\$ 123,268,130	\$ 118,412,440	\$ 4,855,690	4 %
Total expenditures/transfers out	35,175,105	59,289,669	(24,114,564)	(41)%
Change in net assets	88,093,025	59,122,771	28,970,254	49 %
Beginning net deficit	4,525,042	(54,597,729)	59,122,771	108 %
Ending net assets (deficit)	\$ 92,618,067	\$ 4,525,042	\$ 88,093,025	1947 %

### Analysis of Overall Financial Position and Results of Operations

Total assets increased \$31.8 million or 10% from 2005 as a result of an increase in cash and cash equivalents, cash with escrow agent and property tax receivables. Liabilities decreased as a result of \$54.5 million in principal payments. Property tax revenue increased \$4.8 million or 5% due to the Project's economic growth and accordingly increasing the total equalized assessed value of parcels and subsequent tax increment and related collections. Expenditures decreased in 2006 as necessary funding was not substantially complete or available to provide for certain economic development projects.

### Debt Administration

General Obligation and Tax Increment Allocation Bonds outstanding at December 31, 2007 amounted to \$225.7 million. More detailed information about the Project's bonds payable is presented in Note 3 of the financial statements.

# GOVERNMENT-WIDE STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS AND GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS COMBINED BALANCE SHEET AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2006

ASSETS:	Governmental Funds	Adjustments	Government- Wide Activities
Cash and cash equivalents (Note 2) Investments (Note 2) Cash and investments with escrow agent (Note 2) Other assets Property taxes receivable Accrued interest receivable TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 93,134,332 43,256,296 97,568,836 100,000,000 1,604,052 \$ 335,563,516	\$ 480,899  480,899	\$ 93,134,332 43,256,296 97,568,836 480,899 100,000,000 1,604,052 \$ 336,044,415
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE:		100,055	φ 330,011,413
Liabilities: Vouchers payable Accrued interest Due to other funds Accrued liabilities Deferred revenue Bonds payable (Note 3): Due within one year Due after one year  Total liabilities  Fund balance/net assets (deficit) — fund balance: Reserved for encumbrances (Note 4) Reserved for debt service Designated for future redevelopment project costs	\$ 7,336,655 1,084,762 8,438,676 536,749 97,535,484 114,932,326 3,629,845 99,443,334 117,558,011	\$ 286,984  (97,535,484)  70,085,000 155,657,522  128,494,022  (3,629,845) (99,443,334) (117,558,011)	\$ 7,336,655 1,371,746 8,438,676 536,749 70,085,000 155,657,522 243,426,348
Total fund balance	220,631,190	(220,631,190)	
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE  NET ASSETS (DEFICIT): Restricted for debt service Net deficit  TOTAL NET ASSETS  See notes to financial statements.	\$ 335,563,516	196,978,818 (104,360,751) \$ 92,618,067	196,978,818 (104,360,751) \$ 92,618,067

# RECONCILIATION OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS COMBINED BALANCE SHEET TO THE GOVERNMENT-WIDE STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2006

Amounts reported for government-wide activities in the statement of net assets are different because:	
Total fund balance for governmental funds.	\$ 220,631,190
Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available." A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available.	97,535,484
Debt issuance costs are deferred and recognized as other assets rather than expensed when incurred.	480,899
Interest due on certain long-term obligations are accrued when incurred instead of when funds are available.	(286,984)
Long-term liabilities:	
Bonds payable Accreted interest	(197,336,456) (28,406,066)
Total net assets for government-wide activities	\$ 92,618,067

GOVERNMENT-WIDE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS COMBINED STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS AND FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

	Governmental Funds	Adjustments	Statement of Activities
REVENUES:			
Property taxes	\$ 94,519,209	\$ 10,370,985	\$104,890,194
Interest on investments	9,739,224		9,739,224
Rent	700,001		700,001
Sale of land	3,318,947		3,318,947
Miscellaneous	4,619,764		4,619,764
Total revenues	112,897,145	10,370,985	_123,268,130
EXPENDITURES:			
Economic development projects	18,969,748	281,503	19,251,251
Debt service:	,,.	,-	13,401,201
Principal retirement	54,585,262	(54,585,262)	
Interest expense	14,441,880	(4,977,292)	9,464,588
Total expenditures	87,996,890	(59,281,051)	28,715,839
REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES	24,900,255	69,652,036	94,552,291
OTHER FINANCING USES — Operating transfers out (Note 4)	(6,459,266)		(6,459,266)
REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES AND OTHER FINANCING USES	18,440,989	(18,440,989)	
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS		88,093,025	88,093,025
FUND BALANCE/NET ASSETS (DEFICIT): Beginning of year	202,190,201	(197,665,159)	4,525,042
End of year	\$220,631,190	\$(128,013,123)	\$ 92,618,067

RECONCILIATION OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS COMBINED STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS AND FUND BALANCE TO THE GOVERNMENT-WIDE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

The change in net assets reported for government-wide activities in the
statement of activities is different because:

statement of activities is different because.	
Revenues over expenditures-total governmental funds.	\$18,440,989
Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available." A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available.	10,370,985
Debt issuance costs are deferred over the life of the debt rather than when incurred.	(281,503)
Repayment of bond principal is reported as an expenditure in governmental funds and, thus, has the effect of reducing fund balance because current financial resources have been used. For governmental activities, however, the principal payments reduce the liabilities in the statement of net assets and do not	
result in an expense in the statement of activities.	54,585,262
Accreted interest on capital appreciation bonds.	4,977,292
Change in net assets of government-wide activities	\$88,093,025

# STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET ASSETS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2006

	Agency Fund
ASSETS: Cash and cash equivalents (Note 2) Accrued interest receivable	\$3,816,741 1,663
Total assets	3,818,404
LIABILITIES — Accrued liabilities	3,818,404
NET ASSETS	\$

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS OF AND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

### 1. ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

**Reporting Entity** — The Central Loop Redevelopment Project (the "Project") was established as a Tax Increment Financing (TIF) district by the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") in 1997. The Project is an extension of the North Loop Redevelopment Project established in 1984 and has been established to finance improvements, leverage private investment, and create and retain jobs within the TIF district.

Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation — The government-wide financial statements are reported using the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. The fiduciary funds are excluded from the government-wide financial statements.

The governmental fund financial statements are prepared on the *modified accrual basis of accounting* with only current assets and liabilities included on the balance sheet. Under *the modified accrual basis of accounting*, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual, i.e., both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Available means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Property taxes are susceptible to accrual and recognized as a receivable in the year levied. Revenue recognition is deferred unless the taxes are received within 60 days subsequent to year-end. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred. The Project is accounted for within the capital projects, debt service, special revenue, and agency funds of the City.

Governmental Funds — The Project is accounted for within the capital projects, debt service, and special revenue fund types of the City. Capital projects funds account for financial resources to be used for the acquisition or construction of major capital facilities. Debt service funds account for the accumulation of resources for, and the payment of, debt and related costs. Special revenue funds account for the proceeds of specific revenue sources requiring separate accounting because of legal, grant or regulatory provisions or administrative action. These funds are presented herein on a combined basis.

Fiduciary (Agency) Fund — An agency fund accounts for assets held by the Project in a trustee capacity for a developer deposit. Agency funds are custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve measurement of results of operations.

Private-sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued prior to December 1, 1989, generally are followed in government-wide financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The City has elected not to follow subsequent private-sector guidance.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the City's policy to use restricted resources first, and then unrestricted resources, as they are needed.

Management's Use of Estimates — The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Accordingly, actual results could differ from these estimates.

### Assets, Liabilities, and Net Assets:

Cash, Cash Equivalents, and Investments — The bond proceeds and incremental taxes associated with the Project are deposited with the City Treasurer or a trust account. The Municipal Code of Chicago (the "Code") permits deposits only to City Council-approved depositories, which must be regularly organized state or national banks and federal and state savings and loan associations, located within the City, whose deposits are federally insured. The City uses separate escrow accounts in which certain tax revenues are deposited and held for payment of general obligation debt.

Investments authorized by the Code include interest-bearing general obligations of the City, State of Illinois (State), and U.S. Government; U.S. Treasury bills and other non-interest-bearing general obligations of the U.S. Government purchased in the open market below face value; domestic money market funds regulated and in good standing with the Securities and Exchange Commission; and tax anticipation warrants issued by the City. The City is prohibited by ordinance from investing in derivatives, as defined, without City Council approval.

Investments, generally, may not have a maturity in excess of one year from the date of purchase. Certain other investment balances are held in accordance with the specific provisions of the applicable bond ordinance.

Cash equivalents include certificates of deposit and other investments with maturities of three months or less when purchased.

Capital Assets — The Government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net assets and the statement of changes in net assets) of the City include the capital assets and related depreciation, if any, of the Project in which ownership of the capital asset will remain with the City (i.e., infrastructure, or municipal building). All other construction will be expensed in both the government-wide financial statements and the governmental funds as neither the City nor Project will retain the right of ownership.

### Stewardship, Compliance, and Accountability:

Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act Compliance — The Project's expenditures include reimbursements for various eligible costs as described in subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act and the Redevelopment Agreement relating specifically to the Project. Eligible costs include but are not limited to survey, property assembly, rehabilitation, public infrastructure, financing, and relocation costs.

Reimbursements — Reimbursements are made to the developer for project costs, as public improvements are completed and pass City inspection. The semiannual principal and interest payments are made solely from incremental real property taxes, which are paid in the redevelopment district.

### 2. CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS, AND INVESTMENTS

Investments — As of December 31, 2006, the Project had the following Investments:

Investment Maturities (in Years)					
Investment Type	Less Than 1	1–5	6–10	More Than 10	Fair Value
U.S. agencies Certificates of deposits and	\$ 41,633,522	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 41,633,522
other short-term	106,009,568				106,009,568
Subtotal	\$147,643,090	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$</u>	147,643,090
Share of City's pooled funds					14,872,247
Total					\$162,515,337

Interest Rate Risk — As a means of limiting its exposure to fair value losses arising from rising interest rates, the City's investment policy requires that investments generally may not have a maturity date in excess of two years from the date of purchase. Certain other investments are held in accordance with the specific provisions of applicable ordinances.

Credit Risk — The Code limits investments in commercial paper to banks whose senior obligations are rated in the top two rating categories by at least two national rating agencies and who are required to maintain such rating during the term of such investment. The Code also limits investments to domestic money market mutual funds regulated by, and in good standing with, the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certificates of Deposit are also limited by the Code to national banks which provide collateral of at least 110% by marketable U.S. government securities marked to market at least monthly; or secured by a corporate surety bond issued by an insurance company licensed to do business in Illinois and having a claims-paying rating in the top rating category, as rated by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization maintaining such rating during the term of such investment. The following schedule summarizes the Projects exposure to credit risk:

### **Quality Rating**

Aaa/AAA	\$147,643,090
Total funds	\$147,643,090

The Project participates in the City's pooled cash and investments account which includes amounts from other City funds and is maintained by the City Treasurer. Individual cash or investments are not specifically identifiable to any participant in the pool. The Treasurer's pooled fund is included in the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

Custodial Credit Risk—Cash and Certificates of Deposit — This is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the City's deposits may not be returned. The City's Investment Policy states that in order to protect the City public fund deposits, depository institutions are to maintain collateral pledges on City deposits during the term of the deposit of at least 110% of marketable U.S. government, or approved securities or surety bonds, issued by top-rated insurers. Collateral is required as security whenever deposits exceed the insured limits of the FDIC. Cash and certificates of deposit with the City's various municipal depositories were \$ 214.9 million at December 31, 2006, and the related bank balance (including certificates of deposit) was \$248.4 million. Of the bank balance, \$247.6 million or 99.7% was either insured or collateralized with securities held by City agents in the City's name. The remainder was uninsured and uncollateralized.

The following schedule summarizes the investments reported in the basic financial statements:

Per Note 2:	
Investments	\$147,643,090
Investments — City Treasurer Pooled Fund	14,872,247
	\$162,515,337
Per financial statements:	
Investments	\$ 43,256,296
Investments included in cash and investments with escrow agent Investments included as cash and cash	97,568,836
equivalents on the statements of net assets	21,690,205
	\$162,515,337

### 3. BONDS PAYABLE

In September 2003, the City authorized and issued the City of Chicago General Obligation Bonds (Central Loop Redevelopment Project) Series 2003 (\$137.0 million). The bonds were sold as capital appreciation bonds and have yields ranging from 2.3% to 4.07% and have maturity dates ranging from December 1, 2005 to December 1, 2008. Net proceeds (\$135.6 million) will be used to finance certain Project costs. Available tax increment revenues, an ad valorem tax levy, or any revenues of the City legally available for that purpose can be used to fund the debt service.

Tax Increment Allocation Bonds (Central Loop Redevelopment Project), Series 2000 A and Taxable Series 2000 B (\$142.3 million) were sold in November 2000. The Series 2000 A (\$79.9 million) were sold as capital appreciation bonds having yields of 5.03% and maturity dates ranging from December 1, 2005 to December 1, 2008. The Taxable Series 2000 B (\$62.4 million) were sold as current interest bonds having interest rates ranging from 6.55% to 6.8% with maturity dates ranging from December 1, 2002 to December 1, 2005. Net proceeds of \$139.4 million will be used to finance certain Project costs (\$125.2 million) and fund the debt service reserve account (\$14.2 million).

Subordinate Tax Increment Allocation Bonds (Central Loop Redevelopment Project), Series 2000 A (\$98.9 million) were sold in November 2000. The bonds have interest rates ranging from 6.25% to 6.5% with maturity dates ranging from December 1, 2002 to December 1, 2008. Net proceeds of \$95.9 million together with certain proceeds of \$10.0 million released from the debt service reserve account in respect to the Tax Increment Allocation Bonds (Central Loop Redevelopment Project), Series 1997 A were used to advance refund all of the Tax Increment Allocation Bonds (Central Loop Redevelopment Project), Series 1997 A (\$95.0 million); fund the debt service reserve requirement for the Subordinate Tax

Increment Allocation Bonds (Central Loop Redevelopment Project), Series 2000 A (\$9.9 million); and fund a portion of the Project costs (\$1.0 million). The advance refunding increased total debt payments by \$22.9 million and resulted in an economic loss of \$9.2 million. The City advance refunded the Series 1997 A bonds in order to remove certain covenants and to issue new bonds to finance additional redevelopment project costs.

In November 1997, the City authorized and issued the City of Chicago Tax Increment Allocation Bonds (Central Loop Redevelopment Project) Series 1997 A (\$96.0 million) and Taxable Series 1997 B (\$91.0 million). The bonds have interest rates ranging from 4.5% to 6.375% and have maturity dates ranging from June 1, 1999 to June 1, 2007. Certain net proceeds (\$166.6 million) are to be used to finance redevelopment of the TIF district. During 2000, \$96.0 million was defeased with the issuance of the new bonds.

Long-term liability activity for the year ended December 31, 2006, was as follows:

	Bonds Payable	Capital Appreciation Bonds	Total Bonds
Beginning balance Additions	\$251,921,718	\$33,670,342 9,054,010	\$285,592,060 9,054,010
Reductions	(54,585,262)	(14,318,286)	(68,903,548)
Ending balance	\$197,336,456	\$28,406,066	\$225,742,522
Amounts due within one year	\$ 56,714,785	\$13,370,215	

The following summarizes debt service requirements as of December 31, 2006:

Years Ending December 31	Principal	Interest	Total
2007 2008	\$ 56,714,785 _140,621,671	\$16,460,965 _29,015,829	\$ 73,175,750 _169,637,500
Total	\$197,336,456	\$45,476,794	\$242,813,250

### 4. OPERATING TRANSFERS OUT

During 2006, the Project transferred approximately \$6.5 million to the City's General Fund for TIF-eligible infrastructure improvement costs paid by the City.

### 5. COMMITMENTS

The City has pledged certain amounts solely from available excess incremental taxes to provide financial assistance to a developer under the terms of a redevelopment agreement for the purpose of paying costs of certain eligible redevelopment project costs.

As of December 31, 2006, the Project has entered into contracts for approximately \$3.6 million for services and construction projects.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION** 

# SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES BY STATUTORY CODE FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

EXPENDITURES: Costs of studies, surveys, development of plans and specifications, implementation and administration of the redevelopment plan including, but not limited to, staff and professional service costs for architectural, engineering, legal, and marketing.	\$ 2,397,557
Costs of property assembly, including but not limited to, acquisition of land and other property, real or personal, or rights and interests therein, demolition of buildings, and the clearing and grading of land.	6,328,592
Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction or repair or remodeling of existing public or private buildings and fixtures.	207
Costs of the construction of public works or improvements.	9,835,355
Costs of job training and retraining projects.	412,787
Costs of financing, including but not limited to, all necessary and incidental expenses related to the issuance of obligations and which may include payment of interest on any obligations issued hereunder accruing during the estimated period of construction of any redevelopment project for which such obligations are issued and for not exceeding 36 months thereafter and including reasonable reserves related thereto.	69,022,392
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$87,996,890

(3) MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(3)

Please see attached.

STATE OF ILLINOIS	)
	) SS
COUNTY OF COOK	)

### CERTIFICATION

TO:

Daniel W. Hynes Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Tallamantez, Director of Local Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1149 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Peter C. Nicholson, Director Cook County Department of Planning & Development 69 West Washington Street, Room 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Martin Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Tim Mitchell, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Chicago, Illinois 60611

Arne Duncan, Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

I, RICHARD M. DALEY, in connection with the annual report (the "Report") of information required by Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS5/11-74.4-1 et seq, (the "Act") with regard to the Central Loop Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area"), do hereby certify as follows:

- 1. I am the duly qualified and acting Mayor of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, as such, I am the City's Chief Executive Officer. This Certification is being given by me in such capacity.
- 2. During the preceding fiscal year of the City, being January 1 through December 31, 2006, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements of the Act, as applicable from time to time, regarding the Redevelopment Project Area.
- 3. In giving this Certification, I have relied on the opinion of the Corporation Counsel of the City furnished in connection with the Report.
  - 4. This Certification may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature as of this 29th day of June, 2007.

Richard M. Daley, Mayor City of Chicago, Illinois

(4) OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(4)

Please see attached.



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

### **Department of Law**

Mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

City Hall, Room 600
121 North LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 744-0200
(312) 744-8538 (FAX)
(312) 744-2963 (TTY)
http://www.cityofchicago.org

June 29, 2007

Daniel W. Hynes Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Tallamantez, Director of Local Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1149 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Peter C. Nicholson, Director Cook County Department of Planning & Development 69 West Washington Street, Room 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Martin Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Tim Mitchell, General Superintendent & CEO
Chicago Park District
541 North Fairbanks
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Arne Duncan, Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

Re: Central Loop

Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area")

Dear Addressees:

I am Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City"). In such capacity, I am providing the opinion required by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(4) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq. (the "Act"), in connection with the submission of the report (the "Report") in accordance with, and containing the information required by, Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Act for the Redevelopment Project Area.





Attorneys, past and present, in the Law Department of the City familiar with the requirements of the Act have had general involvement in the proceedings affecting the Redevelopment Project Area, including the preparation of ordinances adopted by the City Council of the City with respect to the following matters: approval of the redevelopment plan and project for the Redevelopment Project Area, designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area and adoption of tax increment allocation financing for the Redevelopment Project Area, all in accordance with the then applicable provisions of the Act. Various departments of the City, including, if applicable, the Law Department, Department of Planning and Development, Department of Housing, Department of Finance and Office of Budget and Management, have personnel responsible for and familiar with the activities in the Redevelopment Project Area affecting such Department(s) and with the requirements of the Act in connection therewith. Such personnel are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance of the Law Department with respect to issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Act.

In my capacity as Corporation Counsel, I have relied on the general knowledge and actions of the appropriately designated and trained staff of the Law Department and other applicable City Departments involved with the activities affecting the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, I have caused to be examined or reviewed by members of the Law Department of the City the certified audit report, to the extent required to be obtained by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(9) of the Act and submitted as part of the Report, which is required to review compliance with the Act in certain respects, to determine if such audit report contains information that might affect my opinion. I have also caused to be examined or reviewed such other documents and records as were deemed necessary to enable me to render this opinion. Nothing has come to my attention that would result in my need to qualify the opinion hereinafter expressed, subject to the limitations hereinafter set forth, unless and except to the extent set forth in an Exception Schedule attached hereto as Schedule 1.

Based on the foregoing, I am of the opinion that, in all material respects, the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Act in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area.

This opinion is given in an official capacity and not personally and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Furthermore, the only opinion that is expressed is the opinion specifically set forth herein, and no opinion is implied or should be inferred as to any other matter. Further, this opinion may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof and the Mayor of the City in providing his required certification in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

Very truly yours,

Mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

mara S. Georges

### SCHEDULE 1

(Exception Schedule)

- (X) No Exceptions
- ( ) Note the following Exceptions:

### (5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(5)

COMBINED STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006		
n		2006
Revenues	en en	04.510.200
Property taxes	\$	94,519,209
Sales taxes		0.700.001
Interest on investments		9,739,224
Rent		700,001
Sale of land		3,318,947
Miscellaneous		4,619,764
Total revenues		112,897,145
expenditures		
Costs of studies, admin., and professional services. (q)(1)		2,397,557
Marketing costs. (q)(1.6)		-
Property assembly, demolition, site preparation and environmental		
site improvement costs. (q)(2)		6,328,592
Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction, repair or remodeling and		0,520,592
of existing buildings. $(q)(3)$		207
Costs of construction of public works and improvements. (q)(4)		207
		9,835,355
Cost of job training and retraining. (q)(5)		412,787
Financing costs. (q)(6)		69,022,392
Approved capital costs of overlapping taxing districts. (q)(7)		-
Cost of reimbursing school district for their increase costs caused		
by TIF assisted housing projects (q)(7.5)		-
Relocation costs. (q)(8)		-
Payments in lieu of taxes. (q)(9)		-
Costs of job training, retraining advanced vocational or career		
education provided by other taxing bodies. (q)(10)		-
Costs of reimbursing private developers for interest expenses		
incurred on approved redevelopment projects. (q)(11)(A-E)		-
Costs of construction of new housing units for low income and very		
low income households. (q)(11)(F)		-
Cost of day care services and operational costs of day care centers.		
(q)(11.5)		_
(4)()		
Total expenditures		87,996,890
tevenues over expenditures		24,900,255
Other financing uses		
Operating transfers out		(6,459,266)
Levenues over expenditures and other financing uses		18,440,989
und balance, beginning of year		202,190,201
und balance, end of year	\$	220,631,190
and belows		
und balance	_	00 41
Reserved for debt service	\$	99,443,334
Reserved for encumbrances		3,629,845
Designated for future redevelopment project costs		117,558,011
1.4.16 11.1	•	200 (21 15
otal fund balance	\$	220,631,190

# (5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(5) cont.

Below is listed all vendors, including other municip funds, that were paid in excess of \$5,000 during the current reporting year.		
Name	Service	Amount
City Staff Costs <sup>1</sup>	Administration	\$1,955,605
City Program Management Costs (non-personnel)	Administration	\$14,009
Neal & Leroy LLC	Professional Services	\$20,099
Globetrotters Eng.	Professional Services	\$43,220
Deloitte & Touche	Professional Services	\$27,000
Gibbons & Gibbons, Ltd	Professional Services	\$28,035
HNTB Corp.	Professional Services	\$8,915
Christopher B. Burke	Professional Services	\$5,953
URS Corp.	Professional Services	\$286,661
Dearborn Center, LLC	Development	\$6,245,300
American Youth Hostel Chicago	Development	\$83,292
Public Building Commission	Public Improvements	\$1,839,046
Old Veterans Construction	Public Improvements	\$656,507
The Gordian Group	Public Improvements	\$18,014
Pacific Construction	Public Improvements	\$7,441
Chicago Dept. of Transportation	Public Improvements	\$951,286
Chicago Dept. of Streets & Sanitation	Public Improvements	\$15,750
Consoer Townsend	Public Improvements	\$62,056
T Y Lin International	Public Improvements	\$82,037
Transystems Corp.	Public Improvements	\$85,146
Capitol Cement Co.	Public Improvements	\$2,374,768
G.F. Structures	Public Improvements	\$904,447
Dakley Construction	Public Improvements	\$112,774
F.H. Paschen/S.N. Nielsen	Public Improvements	\$586,461
Chicago Transit Authority	Public Improvements	\$1,750,000
DuSable Inc.	Public Improvements	\$27,460
Seven-D Construction	Public Improvements	\$357,363

# (5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(5) cont.

Jobs for Youth/Chicago	Job Training	\$412,787
Cole Taylor Bank	Financing	\$69,022,392

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  These costs are used to pay the TIF-related portions of the salaries and fringe benefits of those employees who work on tax increment financing matters.

### (6) **DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(6)**

During 2006, the City did not purchase any property in the Project Area.

### (7) STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)

- (A) Projects implemented in the preceding fiscal year.
- **(B)** A description of the redevelopment activities undertaken.
- **(C)** Agreements entered into by the City with regard to disposition or redevelopment of any property within the Project Area.
- **(D)** Additional information on the use of all Funds received by the Project Area and steps taken by the City to achieve the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan.
- (E) Information on contracts that the City's consultants have entered into with parties that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.
- **(F)** Joint Review Board reports submitted to the City.
- (G) Project-by-project review of public and private investment undertaken from 11/1/99 to 12/31/06, and of such investments expected to be undertaken in year 2007; also, a project-by-project ratio of private investment to public investment from 11/1/99 to 12/31/06, and an estimated ratio of such investments as of the completion of each project and as estimated to the completion of the redevelopment project.

SEE TABLES AND/OR DISCUSSIONS ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES.

### (7)(A) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(A)

TABLE 7(A)

PROJECTS IMPLEMENTED IN THE PROCEEDING FISCAL YEAR

NAME OF PROJECT	
CNA	
Green Roof Improvement Fund (GRIF)	

### (7)(B) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(B)

Redevelopment activities undertaken within this Project Area during the year 2006, if any, have been made pursuant to i) the Redevelopment Plan for the Project Area, and ii) any Redevelopment Agreements affecting the Project Area, and are set forth on Table 5 herein by TIF-eligible expenditure category.

### (7)(C) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(C)

### TABLE 7 (C)

AGREEMENTS ENTERED INTO WITH REGARD TO THE DISPOSITION & REDEVELOPMENT OF PROPERTY WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA

PARTIES TO AGREEMENT WITH CITY	NATURE OF AGREEMENT	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ADDRESS	JOBS CREATED/ RETAINED
CNA	Redevelopment Agreement	Construction of 1,144,000 square feet of commercial property use for CNA	333 S. Wabash	200/2,700
Green Roof Improvement Fund (GRIF)	Redevelopment Agreement	Roof Improvement	n/a	0/0

TABLE 7(C) (continued)
AGREEMENTS ENTERED INTO WITH REGARD TO THE DISPOSITION & REDEVELOPMENT OF PROPERTY WITHIN THE PROJECT AREA

STREET ADDRESS	APPROXIMATE SIZE OR DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	PURCHASE PRICE	BUYER OF PROPERTY
212 S. STATE	N/A	N/A	N/A
212 S. STATE	N/A	N/A	N/A

### (7)(D) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(D)

The Project Area has received \$752,207,306 of property tax and sales tax (if applicable) increment since the creation of the Project Area. These amounts have been used to pay for project costs within the Project Area and for debt service (if applicable). The Project Area's fund balance as shown on Table 5 represents (on a modified accrual basis) financial resources (including increment) that have not been expended.

### (7)(E) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(E)

During 2006, no contracts were entered into by the City's tax increment advisors or consultants with entities or persons that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.

### (7)(F) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(F)

During 2006, no reports were submitted to the City by the Joint Review Board.

# (7)(G) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(G)

TABLE 7(G)

PROJECT BY PROJECT REVIEW OF PUBLIC AND PRIVATE INVESTMENT AND RATIO OF PRIVATE TO PUBLIC INVESTMENT \*

AND RATIO OF PRIVATE TO PUBLIC INVESTMENT *	BLIC INVESTI	MENI *				
Projects Undertaken in This	Private Inves	Private Investment Undertaken	Public Inve	Public Investment Undertaken	Ratio Of Priva	Ratio Of Private/Public Investment
Redevelopment Project Area						
	11/1/1999 to	Amount Estimated	11/1/1999 to	Amount Estimated to	11/1/1999 to	Ratio Estimated as
	End of	to Complete the	End of	Complete the Project	End of	of Project
	Reporting FY	Project	Reporting FY		Reporting FY	Completion
Project 1: Dearborn Center, L.L.C.	* * *	\$327,200,000	\$7,673,710	\$10,000,000	*	32.7:1
Project 2: CLIF Program **	Program is	\$3,000,000	\$1,271,914	\$1,500,000	Program is	2.0:1
	Ongoing				Ongoing	
Project 3: American Youth Hostels	* *	\$10,470,000	\$3,373,589	\$3,530,000	* *	3.0:1
Project 4: Canal Street Hotel Partners	\$19,325,000	Project	\$2,458,426	Project	7.9:1	Project
LP		Completed		Completed		Completed
Project 5: Goodman Theater	\$40,783,000	Project	\$18,458,216	Project	2.2:1	Project
		Completed		Completed		Completed
Project 6: Palmet Venture, L.L.C.	* *	\$60,100,000	\$16,394,147	\$17,600,000	* *	3.4:1
Project 7: Livent Realty (Chicago)	\$15,000,000	Project	\$18,399,077	Project	.81:1	Project
Inc.		Completed		Completed		Completed
Project 8: 201 North Wells Investors,	\$38,439,149	Project	\$7,000,000	Project	5.5:1	Project
L.L.C.; 201 Wells Investors, Inc.		Completed		Completed		Completed
Project 9: 330 South Michigan	**	\$21,308,040	\$1,299,776	\$2,040,000	***	10.4:1
Project 10: Chicago Oxford	\$25,800,000	Project	\$1,608,871	Project	16.0:1	Project
Associates LP		Completed		Completed		Completed
Project 11: Chicago Symphony	\$64,500,000	Project	\$2,500,000	Project	25.8:1	Project
Orchestra		Completed		Completed		Completed

PROJECT BY PROJECT REVIEW OF PUBLIC AND PRIVATE INVESTMENT AND RATIO OF PRIVATE TO PUBLIC INVESTMENT \* TABLE 7(G) – (continued)

Ratio Of Private/Public Investment	Amount Estimated	to Complete the	Project	2.2:1	Project	Completed	Project	Completed	Project	Completed	Project	Completed	11.5:1	15.2:1		3.6:1		6.4:1	3.7:1	1.0:1		n/a
Ratio Of Privat	11/1/1999 to	End of	Reporting FY	***	4.1:1		3.6:1		6.1:1		9.1:1		* * *	* *		* * *		* * *	**	Program is	Ongoing	* *
Public Investment Undertaken	Amount Estimated	to Complete the	Project	\$1,148,255	Project	Completed	Project	Completed	Project	Completed	Project	Completed	\$5,500,000	\$5,000,000		\$8,000,000		\$5,500,000	\$13,680,000	\$500,000		\$39,050,000
Public Investr	11/1/1999 to End	of Reporting FY	2004	\$0	\$6,600,000		\$2,500,000		\$1,500,000		\$13,662,953		\$5,500,000	\$4,250,000		\$8,000,000		\$0	\$0	\$0		\$3,300,000
Private Investment Undertaken	Amount Estimated	to Complete the	Project	\$2,548,918	Project	Completed	Project	Completed	Project	Completed	Project	Completed	\$63,415,586	\$76,200,000		\$28,521,000		\$34,973,000	\$51,217,940	\$500,000		80
Private Inves	11/1/1999 to	End of	Reporting FY	**	\$27,280,973		\$9,006,161		\$9,200,000		\$124,055,809		* * *	* *		* *		***	* *	Program is	Ongoing	**
Projects Undertaken in This Redevelopment Project Area				Project 12: EthnicGrocer.com, Inc.	Project 13: Fisher Building		Project 14: Mentor Building, LLC		Project 15: Michigan Wacker	Associates, L.L.C.	Project 16: One North Dearborn	LLC	Project 17: One South State Street, L.L.C.	Project 18: St. George Hotel,	L.L.C.; HRH Development, L.L.C.	Project 19: State Cite, LLC (Info	Tech)	Project 20: Monroe Presentations	Project 21: CNA	Project 22: Green Roof	Improvement Fund (GRIF)	Project 23: CTA Block 37

PROJECT BY PROJECT REVIEW OF PUBLIC AND PRIVATE INVESTMENT AND RATIO OF PRIVATE TO PUBLIC INVESTMENT \* TABLE 7(G) – (continued)

Projects Estimated To Be	Private Investment Undertaken	Public Investment Undertaken	Ratio of Private/Public Investment
Oliuci takcii Dul Ilig 2007			
Project 1: Mittal Steel	\$4,483,140	\$2,000,000	2.2:1
Project 2: United	\$15,653,400	\$5,475,000	2.9:1
Project 3: Randolph Tower	\$87,500,000	\$10,000,000	8.8:1
City Apartments			

Each actual or estimated Public Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised only of payments financed by tax increment revenues. In contrast, each actual or estimated Private Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised of payments financed by revenues that are not tax increment revenues and, therefore, may include private equity, private lender financing, private grants, other public monies, or other local, state or federal grants or loans. Each amount reported here under Public Investment Undertaken, Amount Estimated to Complete the Project, is the maximum amount of payments financed by tax increment revenues that could be made pursuant to the corresponding Project's operating documents, but not including interest that may later be payable on developer notes, and may not necessarily reflect actual expenditures, if any, as reported in Sections 2 or 5 herein. The total public investment amount ultimately made under each Project will depend upon the future occurrence of various conditions including interest that may be payable on developer notes set forth in the Project's operating documents. Each amount reported here under Public Investment Undertaken, 11/1/1999 to End of Reporting FY, is cumulative from the date of execution of the corresponding Project to the end of the reporting year, and may include interest amounts paid to finance the Public Investment amount. Projects for which the last Public Investment made was prior to 11/1/1999 are not reported on this table.

- Depending on the particular goals of this type of program, the City may: i) make an advance disbursement of the entire public investment amount to the City's program administrator, ii) disburse the amounts through an escrow account, or iii) pay the funds out piecemeal to the program administrator as each ultimate grantee's rehabilitation work is approved under the program.
- As of the End of the Reporting FY, the construction of this Project was ongoing; the Private Investment Undertaken and Ratio figures for this Project will be reported on the Annual Report for the FY in which the construction of the Project is completed and the total Private Investment figure is available.

(8) DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(A)

During 2006, there were no obligations issued for this Project Area

### (9) ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(B)

During 2006, there were no obligations issued for this Project Area

### (10) **CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORTS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(9)**

Please see attached.

# **Deloitte**

Deloitte & Touche LLP 111 S. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 USA

Tel: +1 312 486 1000 Fax: +1 312 486 1486 www.deloitte.com

### **INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT**

To the Honorable Richard M. Daley, Mayor, and Members of the City Council City of Chicago, Illinois

Delatte & Touche LLP

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial statements of the government-wide activities, governmental funds, and agency fund of the City of Chicago, Illinois' Central Loop Redevelopment Project (the "Project") as of December 31, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated June 21, 2007.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the Project failed to comply with the regulatory provision of Subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act insofar as they relate to financial and accounting matters. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of noncompliance with such Subsection.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the management of the City of Chicago, the Project and the State of Illinois, and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties.

June 21, 2007

### (11) GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP

The Central Loop Redevelopment Project Area is generally bounded by Wacker Drive on the north, Michigan Avenue on the east, Congress Parkway on the south, and Dearborn, LaSalle, and North Franklin Streets on the west. The map below illustrates the location and general boundaries of the Project Area. For precise boundaries, please consult the legal description in the Redevelopment Plan.

