

**FY 2016
ANNUAL TAX INCREMENT FINANCE
REPORT**



**STATE OF ILLINOIS
COMPTROLLER
SUSANA A. MENDOZA**

Name of Municipality: City of Chicago Reporting Fiscal Year: 2016
 County: Cook Fiscal Year End: 12/31/2016
 Unit Code: 016/620/30

TIF Administrator Contact Information

First Name: David L. Last Name: Reifman
 Address: City Hall, 121 N. La Salle Title: Administrator
 Telephone: (312) 744-4190 City: Chicago Zip: 60602
 E-mail: TIFReports@cityofchicago.org
 Mobile: n/a required
 Mobile Provider: n/a Best way to contact: Email Phone Mail

I attest to the best of my knowledge, this report of the redevelopment project areas in: City of Chicago
 is complete and accurate at the end of this reporting Fiscal year under the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 et. seq.] Or the Industrial Jobs Recovery Law [65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-10 et. seq.]
 _____ 08/25/2017
 Written signature of TIF Administrator Date

Section 1 (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (1.5) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (1.5)*)

FILL OUT ONE FOR EACH TIF DISTRICT

| Name of Redevelopment Project Area | Date Designated | Date Terminated |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 24th/Michigan | 7/21/1999 | 7/21/2022 |
| 26th and King Drive | 1/11/2006 | 12/31/2030 |
| 35th and Wallace | 12/15/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| 35th/Halsted | 1/14/1997 | 12/31/2021 |
| 35th/State | 1/14/2004 | 12/31/2028 |
| 43rd/Cottage Grove | 7/8/1998 | 12/31/2022 |
| 47th/Ashland | 3/27/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| 47th/Halsted | 5/29/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| 47th/King Drive | 3/27/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| 47th/State | 7/21/2004 | 12/31/2028 |
| 49th Street/St. Lawrence Avenue | 1/10/1996 | 12/31/2020 |
| 51st/ Archer | 5/17/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| 51st/Lake Park | 11/15/2012 | 12/31/2036 |
| 53rd Street | 1/10/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| 60th and Western | 5/9/1996 | 5/9/2019 |
| 63rd/Ashland | 3/29/2006 | 12/31/2030 |
| 63rd/Pulaski | 5/17/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| 67th/Cicero | 10/2/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| 67th/Wentworth | 5/4/2011 | 12/31/2035 |
| 69th/Ashland | 11/3/2004 | 12/31/2016 |
| 71st and Stony Island | 10/7/1998 | 10/7/2021 |
| 73rd/University | 9/13/2006 | 12/31/2030 |
| 79th and Cicero | 6/8/2005 | 12/31/2029 |

*All statutory citations refer to one of two sections of the Illinois Municipal Code: the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 et. seq.] or the Industrial Jobs Recovery Law [65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-10 et. seq.]

Name of Municipality: Chicago
 County: Cook
 Unit Code: 016/620/30

Reporting Fiscal Year:
 Fiscal Year End:

2016
 12 /31/2016

| | | |
|----------------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| 79th Street Corridor | 7/8/1998 | 7/8/2021 |
| 79th Street/Southwest Highway | 10/3/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| 79th/Vincennes | 9/27/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| 83rd/Stewart | 3/31/2004 | 12/31/2028 |
| 87th/Cottage Grove | 11/13/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| 95th and Western | 7/13/1995 | 12/31/2019 |
| 105th/Vincennes | 10/3/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| 107th Halsted | 4/2/2014 | 12/31/2038 |
| 111th Street/Kedzie Avenue Business District | 9/29/1999 | 9/29/2022 |
| 119th and Halsted | 2/6/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| 119th/I-57 | 11/6/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| 126th and Torrence | 12/21/1994 | 12/21/2017 |
| Addison Corridor North | 6/4/1997 | 12/31/2016 |
| Addison South | 5/9/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| Archer Courts | 5/12/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| Archer/ Central | 5/17/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Archer/Western | 2/11/2009 | 12/31/2033 |
| Armitage/Pulaski | 6/13/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| Austin Commercial | 9/27/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| Avalon Park/South Shore | 7/31/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| Avondale | 7/29/2009 | 12/31/2033 |
| Belmont/Central | 1/12/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Belmont/Cicero | 1/12/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Bronzeville | 11/4/1998 | 12/31/2022 |
| Bryn Mawr/Broadway | 12/11/1996 | 12/11/2019 |
| Calumet Avenue/Cermak Road | 7/29/1998 | 7/29/2021 |
| Calumet River | 3/10/2010 | 12/31/2016 |
| Canal/Congress | 11/12/1998 | 12/31/2022 |
| Central West | 2/16/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Chicago/Central Park | 2/27/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| Chicago/Kingsbury | 4/12/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Chicago Lakeside Development – Phase 1 (USX) | 5/12/2010 | 12/31/2034 |
| Cicero/Archer | 5/17/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Clark Street and Ridge Avenue | 9/29/1999 | 9/29/2022 |
| Clark/Montrose | 7/7/1999 | 7/7/2022 |
| Commercial Avenue | 11/13/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| Devon/Sheridan | 3/31/2004 | 12/31/2028 |
| Devon/Western | 11/3/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| Diversey/Chicago River (Lathrop Homes) | 10/5/2016 | 12/31/2040 |
| Diversey/Narragansett | 2/5/2003 | 12/31/2027 |
| Division/Homan | 6/27/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| Drexel Boulevard | 7/10/2002 | 12/31/2026 |

Name of Municipality: Chicago
 County: Cook
 Unit Code: 016/620/30

Reporting Fiscal Year:
 Fiscal Year End:

2016
 12 /31/2016

| | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Edgewater/Ashland | 10/1/2003 | 12/31/2027 |
| Elston/Armstrong Industrial Corridor | 7/19/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| Englewood Mall | 11/29/1989 | 12/31/2025 |
| Englewood Neighborhood | 6/27/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| Ewing Avenue | 3/10/2010 | 12/31/2034 |
| Forty-first Street and Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive | 7/13/1994 | 12/31/2018 |
| Foster California | 4/2/2014 | 12/31/2038 |
| Fullerton/Milwaukee | 2/16/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Galewood/Armitage Industrial | 7/7/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| Goose Island | 7/10/1996 | 7/10/2019 |
| Greater Southwest Industrial Corridor (East) | 3/10/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| Greater Southwest Industrial Corridor (West) | 4/12/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Harlem Industrial Park Conservation Area | 3/14/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| Harrison/Central | 7/26/2006 | 12/31/2030 |
| Hollywood/Sheridan | 11/7/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| Homan-Arthington | 2/5/1998 | 2/5/2021 |
| Humboldt Park Commercial | 6/27/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| Irving Park/Elston | 5/13/2009 | 12/31/2033 |
| Irving/Cicero | 6/10/1996 | 12/31/2020 |
| Jefferson Park Business District | 9/9/1998 | 9/9/2021 |
| Jefferson/Roosevelt | 8/30/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Kennedy/Kimball | 3/12/2008 | 12/31/2032 |
| Kinzie Industrial Corridor | 6/10/1998 | 12/31/2022 |
| Lake Calumet Area Industrial | 12/13/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Lakefront | 3/27/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| LaSalle Central | 11/15/2006 | 12/31/2030 |
| Lawrence/Broadway | 6/27/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| Lawrence/Kedzie | 2/16/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Lawrence/Pulaski | 2/27/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| Lincoln Avenue | 11/3/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| Lincoln-Belmont-Ashland | 11/2/1994 | 12/31/2018 |
| Little Village East | 4/22/2009 | 12/31/2033 |
| Little Village Industrial Corridor | 6/13/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| Madden/Wells | 11/6/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| Madison/Austin Corridor | 9/29/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| Michigan/Cermak | 9/13/1989 | 12/31/2025 |
| Midway Industrial Corridor | 2/16/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Midwest | 5/17/2000 | 12/31/2036 |
| Montclare | 8/30/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Montrose/Clarendon | 6/30/2010 | 12/31/2034 |
| Near North | 7/30/1997 | 7/30/2020 |
| North Branch (North) | 7/2/1997 | 12/31/2021 |
| North Branch (South) | 2/5/1998 | 2/5/2021 |
| North Pullman | 6/30/2009 | 12/31/2033 |

Name of Municipality: Chicago
 County: Cook
 Unit Code: 016/620/30

Reporting Fiscal Year:
 Fiscal Year End:

2016
 12 /31/2016

| | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| North-Cicero | 7/30/1997 | 7/30/2020 |
| Northwest Industrial Corridor | 12/2/1998 | 12/31/2022 |
| Ogden/Pulaski | 4/9/2008 | 12/31/2032 |
| Ohio/Wabash | 6/7/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Pershing/King | 9/5/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| Peterson/Cicero | 2/16/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Peterson/Pulaski | 2/16/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Pilsen Industrial Corridor | 6/10/1998 | 12/31/2022 |
| Portage Park | 9/9/1998 | 9/9/2021 |
| Pratt/Ridge Industrial Park Conservation Area | 6/23/2004 | 12/31/2028 |
| Pulaski Corridor | 6/9/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| Randolph and Wells | 6/9/2010 | 12/31/2034 |
| Ravenswood Corridor | 3/9/2005 | 12/31/2029 |
| Read-Dunning | 1/11/1991 | 12/31/2027 |
| Red Purple Modernization Phase 1 (Transit TIF) | 11/30/2016 | 12/31/2052 |
| River South | 7/30/1997 | 7/30/2020 |
| River West | 1/10/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| Roosevelt/Cicero | 2/5/1998 | 2/5/2021 |
| Roosevelt/Racine | 11/4/1998 | 12/31/2034 |
| Roosevelt/Union | 5/12/1999 | 5/12/2022 |
| Roseland/Michigan | 1/16/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| Sanitary Drainage and Ship Canal | 7/24/1991 | 12/31/2027 |
| South Chicago | 4/12/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| South Works Industrial | 11/3/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| Stevenson/Brighton | 4/11/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| Stockyards Annex | 12/11/1996 | 12/31/2020 |
| Stockyards Southeast Quadrant Industrial | 2/26/1992 | 12/31/2028 |
| Stony Island Avenue Commercial and Burnside Industrial Corridors | 6/10/1998 | 12/31/2034 |
| Touhy/Western | 9/13/2006 | 12/31/2030 |
| Washington Park | 10/8/2014 | 12/31/2038 |
| Weed/Fremont | 1/8/2008 | 12/31/2032 |
| West Irving Park | 1/12/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| West Woodlawn | 5/12/2010 | 12/31/2034 |
| Western Avenue North | 1/12/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Western Avenue Rock Island | 2/8/2006 | 12/31/2030 |
| Western Avenue South | 1/12/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Western/Ogden | 2/5/1998 | 2/5/2021 |
| Wilson Yard | 6/27/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| Woodlawn | 1/20/1999 | 1/20/2022 |

**SECTION 2 [Sections 2 through 5 must be completed for each redevelopment project area listed in Section 1.]
FY 2016**

| |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Name of Redevelopment Project Area: Armitage/Pulaski Redevelopment Project Area |
| Primary Use of Redevelopment Project Area*: Combination/Mixed |
| If "Combination/Mixed" List Component Types: Commercial/Industrial/Residential/Public Facilities |
| Under which section of the Illinois Municipal Code was Redevelopment Project Area designated? (check one): Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act <u> X </u> Industrial Jobs Recovery Law _____ |

| | No | Yes |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|-----|
| Were there any amendments to the redevelopment plan, the redevelopment project area, or the State Sales Tax Boundary? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (1) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (1)] If yes, please enclose the amendment labeled Attachment A | X | |
| Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of the municipality that the municipality has complied with all of the requirements of the Act during the preceding fiscal year. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (3) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (3)] Please enclose the CEO Certification labeled Attachment B | | X |
| Opinion of legal counsel that municipality is in compliance with the Act. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (4) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (4)] Please enclose the Legal Counsel Opinion labeled Attachment C | | X |
| Were there any activities undertaken in furtherance of the objectives of the redevelopment plan, including any project implemented in the preceding fiscal year and a description of the activities undertaken? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (A and B) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (A and B)] If yes, please enclose the Activities Statement labeled Attachment D | X | |
| Were any agreements entered into by the municipality with regard to the disposition or redevelopment of any property within the redevelopment project area or the area within the State Sales Tax Boundary? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (C) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (C)] If yes, please enclose the Agreement(s) labeled Attachment E | X | |
| Is there additional information on the use of all funds received under this Division and steps taken by the municipality to achieve the objectives of the redevelopment plan? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (D) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (D)] If yes, please enclose the Additional Information labeled Attachment F | X | |
| Did the municipality's TIF advisors or consultants enter into contracts with entities or persons that have received or are receiving payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the same TIF? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (E) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (E)] If yes, please enclose the contract(s) or description of the contract(s) labeled Attachment G | X | |
| Were there any reports or meeting minutes submitted to the municipality by the joint review board? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (F) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (F)] If yes, please enclose the Joint Review Board Report labeled Attachment H | X | |
| Were any obligations issued by municipality? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (8) (A) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (8) (A)] If yes, please enclose the Official Statement labeled Attachment I | X | |
| Was analysis prepared by a financial advisor or underwriter setting forth the nature and term of obligation and projected debt service including required reserves and debt coverage? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (8) (B) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (8) (B)] If yes, please enclose the Analysis labeled Attachment J | X | |
| Cumulatively, have deposits from any source equal or greater than \$100,000 been made into the special tax allocation fund? 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (2) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (2) If yes, please enclose Audited financial statements of the special tax allocation fund labeled Attachment K | | X |
| Cumulatively, have deposits of incremental taxes revenue equal to or greater than \$100,000 been made into the special tax allocation fund? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (9) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (9)] If yes, please enclose a certified letter statement reviewing compliance with the Act labeled Attachment L | | X |
| A list of all intergovernmental agreements in effect to which the municipality is a part, and an accounting of any money transferred or received by the municipality during that fiscal year pursuant to those intergovernmental agreements. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (10)] If yes, please enclose list only of the intergovernmental agreements labeled Attachment M | X | |

* Types include: Central Business District, Retail, Other Commercial, Industrial, Residential, and Combination/Mixed.

SECTION 3.1 - (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5))

Provide an analysis of the special tax allocation fund.

FY 2016

TIF NAME: Armitage/Pulaski Redevelopment Project Area

Fund Balance at Beginning of Reporting Period

\$ 988,021

| Revenue/Cash Receipts Deposited in Fund During Reporting FY: | Reporting Year | Cumulative* | % of Total |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Property Tax Increment | 50,999 | \$ 1,631,455 | 99% |
| State Sales Tax Increment | | | 0% |
| Local Sales Tax Increment | | | 0% |
| State Utility Tax Increment | | | 0% |
| Local Utility Tax Increment | | | 0% |
| Interest | 472 | 16,696 | 1% |
| Land/Building Sale Proceeds | | | 0% |
| Bond Proceeds | | | 0% |
| Transfers from Municipal Sources | | | 0% |
| Private Sources | | | 0% |
| Other (identify source _____; if multiple other sources, attach schedule) | | | 0% |

*must be completed where 'Reporting Year' is populated

Total Amount Deposited in Special Tax Allocation Fund During Reporting Period

51,471

Cumulative Total Revenues/Cash Receipts

\$ 1,648,151 | 100%

Total Expenditures/Cash Disbursements (Carried forward from Section 3.2)

209,997

Distribution of Surplus

-

Total Expenditures/Disbursements

209,997

NET INCOME/CASH RECEIPTS OVER/(UNDER) CASH DISBURSEMENTS

(158,526)

FUND BALANCE, END OF REPORTING PERIOD*

\$ 829,495

* if there is a positive fund balance at the end of the reporting period, you must complete Section 3.3

Total Amount Restricted (Carried forward from Section 3.3)

\$ 829,495

SECTION 3.2 A- (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5))

FY 2016

TIF NAME: Armitage/Pulaski Redevelopment Project Area

ITEMIZED LIST OF ALL EXPENDITURES FROM THE SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND
(by category of permissible redevelopment cost, amounts expended during reporting period)

FOR AMOUNTS >\$10,000 SECTION 3.2 B MUST BE COMPLETED

| Category of Permissible Redevelopment Cost [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 (q) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-10 (o)] | Amounts | Reporting Fiscal Year |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|
| 1. Costs of studies, administration and professional services—Subsections (q)(1) and (o) (1) | 10,475 | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | \$ 10,475 |
| 2. Cost of marketing sites—Subsections (q)(1.6) and (o)(1.6) | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | \$ - |
| 3. Property assembly, demolition, site preparation and environmental site improvement costs. Subsection (q)(2), (o)(2) and (o)(3) | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | \$ - |
| 4. Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction, repair or remodeling of existing public or private buildings. Subsection (q)(3) and (o)(4) | 70,451 | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | \$ 70,451 |
| 5. Costs of construction of public works and improvements. Subsection (q)(4) and (o)(5) | 129,071 | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | \$ 129,071 |
| 6. Costs of removing contaminants required by environmental laws or rules (o)(6) - Industrial Jobs Recovery TIFs ONLY | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | \$ - |

SECTION 3.2 A

PAGE 2

| | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|------|
| 7. Cost of job training and retraining, including "welfare to work" programs Subsection (q)(5), (o)(7) and (o)(12) | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | \$ - |
| 8. Financing costs. Subsection (q) (6) and (o)(8) | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | \$ - |
| 9. Approved capital costs. Subsection (q)(7) and (o)(9) | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | \$ - |
| 10. Cost of Reimbursing school districts for their increased costs caused by TIF assisted housing projects. Subsection (q)(7.5) - Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment TIFs ONLY | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | \$ - |
| 11. Relocation costs. Subsection (q)(8) and (o)(10) | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | \$ - |
| 12. Payments in lieu of taxes. Subsection (q)(9) and (o)(11) | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | \$ - |
| 13. Costs of job training, retraining advanced vocational or career education provided by other taxing bodies. Subsection (q)(10) and (o)(12) | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | \$ - |

SECTION 3.2 A

PAGE 3

| | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| 14. Costs of reimbursing private developers for interest expenses incurred on approved redevelopment projects. Subsection (q)(11)(A-E) and (o)(13)(A-E) | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | \$ - |
| 15. Costs of construction of new housing units for low income and very low-income households. Subsection (q)(11)(F) - Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment TIFs ONLY | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | \$ - |
| 16. Cost of day care services and operational costs of day care centers. Subsection (q) (11.5) - Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment TIFs ONLY | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | \$ - |
| TOTAL ITEMIZED EXPENDITURES | | \$ 209,997 |

SECTION 3.3 - (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) 65 ILCS 11-74.6-22 (d) (5))

Breakdown of the Balance in the Special Tax Allocation Fund At the End of the Reporting Period

FY 2016

TIF NAME: Armitage/Pulaski Redevelopment Project Area

FUND BALANCE, END OF REPORTING PERIOD

\$ 829,495

| Amount of Original Issuance | Amount Restricted |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|
|--------------------------------|-------------------|

1. Description of Debt Obligations

| | | |
|-----------------------------|------|------|
| Restricted for debt service | \$ - | \$ - |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Total Amount Restricted for Obligations

\$ - \$ -

2. Description of Project Costs to be Paid

| | | |
|---------------------------------------------------|--|------------|
| Restricted for future redevelopment project costs | | \$ 829,495 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Total Amount Restricted for Project Costs

\$ 829,495

TOTAL AMOUNT RESTRICTED

\$ 829,495

SURPLUS*/(DEFICIT)

\$ -

* NOTE: If a surplus is calculated, the municipality may be required to repay the amount to overlapping taxing districts (see instructions and statutes).

SECTION 4 [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (6) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (6)]

FY 2016

TIF NAME: Armitage/Pulaski Redevelopment Project Area

Provide a description of all property purchased by the municipality during the reporting fiscal year within the redevelopment project area.

No property was acquired by the Municipality Within the Redevelopment Project Area

Property Acquired by the Municipality Within the Redevelopment Project Area

| | |
|----------------------------------------------|--|
| Property (1): | |
| Street address: | |
| Approximate size or description of property: | |
| Purchase price: | |
| Seller of property: | |
| Property (2): | |
| Street address: | |
| Approximate size or description of property: | |
| Purchase price: | |
| Seller of property: | |
| Property (3): | |
| Street address: | |
| Approximate size or description of property: | |
| Purchase price: | |
| Seller of property: | |
| Property (4): | |
| Street address: | |
| Approximate size or description of property: | |
| Purchase price: | |
| Seller of property: | |

SECTION 5 - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (G) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (G)

FY 2016

TIF NAME: **Armitage/Pulaski Redevelopment Project Area**

*Page 1 is to be included with TIF Report. Pages 2-3 are to be included **ONLY** if projects are listed.

Box below must be filled in with either a check or number of projects, not both

Check if **NO** projects were undertaken by the Municipality Within the Redevelopment Project Area: _____

ENTER total number of projects undertaken by the Municipality Within the Redevelopment Project Area and list them in detail below*. 1

| TOTAL: | 11/1/99 to Date | Estimated Investment for Subsequent Fiscal Year | Total Estimated to Complete Project |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Private Investment Undertaken | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,000,000 |
| Public Investment Undertaken | \$ - | \$ 130,000 | \$ 1,000,000 |
| Ratio of Private/Public Investment | 0 | | 2 |

Project 1: *IF PROJECTS ARE LISTED NUMBER MUST BE LISTED ABOVE

| Small Business Improvement Fund (SBIF) ** | Project is Ongoing *** | | |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|--------------|
| Private Investment Undertaken | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,000,000 |
| Public Investment Undertaken | \$ - | \$ 130,000 | \$ 1,000,000 |
| Ratio of Private/Public Investment | 0 | | 2 |

| Project 2: | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions) | | | |
| Public Investment Undertaken | | | |
| Ratio of Private/Public Investment | 0 | | 0 |

| Project 3: | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions) | | | |
| Public Investment Undertaken | | | |
| Ratio of Private/Public Investment | 0 | | 0 |

| Project 4: | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions) | | | |
| Public Investment Undertaken | | | |
| Ratio of Private/Public Investment | 0 | | 0 |

| Project 5: | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions) | | | |
| Public Investment Undertaken | | | |
| Ratio of Private/Public Investment | 0 | | 0 |

| Project 6: | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions) | | | |
| Public Investment Undertaken | | | |
| Ratio of Private/Public Investment | 0 | | 0 |

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Project 7: | | | |
| Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions) | | | |
| Public Investment Undertaken | | | |
| Ratio of Private/Public Investment | 0 | | 0 |

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Project 8: | | | |
| Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions) | | | |
| Public Investment Undertaken | | | |
| Ratio of Private/Public Investment | 0 | | 0 |

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Project 9: | | | |
| Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions) | | | |
| Public Investment Undertaken | | | |
| Ratio of Private/Public Investment | 0 | | 0 |

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Project 10: | | | |
| Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions) | | | |
| Public Investment Undertaken | | | |
| Ratio of Private/Public Investment | 0 | | 0 |

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Project 11: | | | |
| Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions) | | | |
| Public Investment Undertaken | | | |
| Ratio of Private/Public Investment | 0 | | 0 |

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Project 12: | | | |
| Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions) | | | |
| Public Investment Undertaken | | | |
| Ratio of Private/Public Investment | 0 | | 0 |

** Depending on the particular goals of this type of program, the City may: i) make an advance disbursement of the entire public investment amount to the City's program administrator, ii) disburse the amounts through an escrow account, or iii) pay the funds out piecemeal to the program administrator or to the ultimate grantee as each ultimate grantee's work is approved under the program.

*** As of the last date of the reporting fiscal year, the construction of this Project was ongoing; the Private Investment Undertaken and Ratio figures for this Project will be reported on the Annual Report for the fiscal year in which the construction of the Project is completed and the total Private Investment figure is available.

General Notes

(a) Each actual or estimated Public Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised only of payments financed by tax increment revenue, and may include interest amounts paid to finance the Public Investment amount. In contrast, each actual or estimated Private Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised of payments financed by revenues that are not tax increment revenues and, therefore, may include private equity, private lender financing, private grants, other public monies, or other local, state or federal grants or loans.

(b) Each amount reported here under Public Investment Undertaken, Total Estimated to Complete Project, is the maximum amount of payments financed by tax increment revenues that could be made pursuant to the corresponding Project's operating documents, but not including interest that may later be payable on developer notes, and may not necessarily reflect actual expenditures, if any, as reported in Section 3 herein. The total public investment amount ultimately made under each Project will depend upon the future occurrence of various conditions, including interest that may be payable on developer notes as set forth in the Project's operating documents.

Optional: Information in the following sections is not required by law, but would be helpful in evaluating the performance of TIF in Illinois.

SECTION 6

FY 2016

TIF NAME: Armitage/Pulaski Redevelopment Project Area

Provide the base EAV (at the time of designation) and the EAV for the year reported for the redevelopment project area

| Year redevelopment project area was designated | Base EAV | Reporting Fiscal Year EAV |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| | | |

List all overlapping tax districts in the redevelopment project area.
If overlapping taxing district received a surplus, list the surplus.

_____ The overlapping taxing districts did not receive a surplus.

| Overlapping Taxing District | Surplus Distributed from redevelopment |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| | \$ - |
| | \$ - |
| | \$ - |
| | \$ - |
| | \$ - |
| | \$ - |
| | \$ - |
| | \$ - |
| | \$ - |
| | \$ - |
| | \$ - |
| | \$ - |
| | \$ - |
| | \$ - |
| | \$ - |

SECTION 7

Provide information about job creation and retention


| Number of Jobs Retained | Number of Jobs Created | Description and Type (Temporary or Permanent) of Jobs | Total Salaries Paid |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | | \$ - |
| | | | \$ - |
| | | | \$ - |
| | | | \$ - |
| | | | \$ - |
| | | | \$ - |
| | | | \$ - |

SECTION 8

Provide a general description of the redevelopment project area using only major boundaries:

| Optional Documents | Enclosed | |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Legal description of redevelopment project area | | |
| Map of District | X | |

Armitage/Pulaski Redevelopment Project Area 2016 Annual Report



STATE OF ILLINOIS)
) SS
COUNTY OF COOK)

Attachment B

CERTIFICATION

TO:

Susana Mendoza
Comptroller of the State of Illinois
James R. Thompson Center
100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500
Chicago, Illinois 60601
Attention: June Canello, Director of Local
Government

Forrest Claypool
Chief Executive Officer
Chicago Board of Education
42 West Madison Street
Chicago, Illinois 60603

James R. Dempsey
Associate Vice Chancellor-Finance
City Colleges of Chicago
226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance
Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of
Greater Chicago
100 East Erie Street, Room 2429
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Michael Jasso
Bureau Chief
Cook County Bureau of Economic Dev.
69 West Washington Street, Suite 3000
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

Lawrence Wilson, Comptroller
Forest Preserve District of Cook County
69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060
Chicago, IL 60602

Michael P. Kelly, General Superintendent &
CEO
Chicago Park District
541 North Fairbanks, 7th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60611

I, Rahm Emanuel, in connection with the annual report (the "Report") of information required by Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS5/11-74.4-1 et seq., (the "Act") with regard to the Armitage/Pulaski Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area"), do hereby certify as follows:

Attachment B


1. I am the duly qualified and acting Mayor of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, as such, I am the City's Chief Executive Officer. This Certification is being given by me in such capacity.

2. During the preceding fiscal year of the City, being January 1 through December 31, 2016, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements of the Act, as applicable from time to time, regarding the Redevelopment Project Area.

3. In giving this Certification, I have relied on the opinion of the Corporation Counsel of the City furnished in connection with the Report.

4. This Certification may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature as of this 25th day of August, 2017.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R Emanuel", written over a horizontal line. The signature is cursive and includes a small "ES" at the end.

Rahm Emanuel, Mayor
City of Chicago, Illinois



DEPARTMENT OF LAW

August 25, 2017 CITY OF CHICAGO

Attachment C

Susana Mendoza
Comptroller of the State of Illinois
James R. Thompson Center
100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500
Chicago, Illinois 60601
Attention: June Canello, Director of Local
Government

Forrest Claypool
Chief Executive Officer
Chicago Board of Education
42 West Madison Street
Chicago, Illinois 60603

James R. Dempsey
Associate Vice Chancellor-Finance
City Colleges of Chicago
226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance
Metropolitan Water Reclamation District
of Greater Chicago
100 East Erie Street, Room 2429
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Michael Jasso
Bureau Chief
Cook County Bureau of Economic Dev.
69 West Washington Street, Suite 3000
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

Lawrence Wilson, Comptroller
Forest Preserve District of Cook County
69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060
Chicago, IL 60602

Michael P. Kelly, General Superintendent
& CEO
Chicago Park District
541 North Fairbanks, 7th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60611

Re: Armitage/Pulaski
Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area")

Dear Addressees:

I am the Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, in such capacity, I am the head of the City's Law Department. In such capacity, I am providing the opinion required by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(4) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq. (the "Act"), in connection with the submission of the report (the "Report") in accordance with, and containing the information required by, Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Act for the Redevelopment Project Area.

August 25, 2017


Attorneys, past and present, in the Law Department of the City and familiar with the requirements of the Act, have had general involvement in the proceedings affecting the Redevelopment Project Area, including the preparation of ordinances adopted by the City Council of the City with respect to the following matters: approval of the redevelopment plan and project for the Redevelopment Project Area, designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area, and adoption of tax increment allocation financing for the Redevelopment Project Area, all in accordance with the then applicable provisions of the Act. Various departments of the City, including, if applicable, the Law Department, Department of Planning and Development, Department of Finance and Office of Budget and Management (collectively, the "City Departments"), have personnel responsible for and familiar with the activities in the Redevelopment Project Area affecting such Department(s) and with the requirements of the Act in connection therewith. Such personnel are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance of the Law Department with respect to issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Act.

In my capacity as Corporation Counsel, I have relied on the factual certification of the Commissioner of the Department of Planning and Development attached hereto as Schedule 1, along with the general knowledge and actions of the appropriately designated and trained staff of the Law Department and other applicable City Departments involved with the activities affecting the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, I have caused to be examined or reviewed by members of the Law Department of the City the certified audit report, to the extent required to be obtained by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(9) of the Act and submitted as part of the Report, which is required to review compliance with the Act in certain respects, to determine if such audit report contains information that might affect my opinion. I have also caused to be examined or reviewed such other documents and records as were deemed necessary to enable me to render this opinion. Nothing has come to my attention that would result in my need to qualify the opinion hereinafter expressed, subject to the limitations hereinafter set forth, unless and except to the extent set forth in an Exception Schedule attached hereto as Schedule 2.

Based on the foregoing, I am of the opinion that, in all material respects, the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Act in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area.

This opinion is given in an official capacity and not personally and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Furthermore, the only opinion that is expressed is the opinion specifically set forth herein, and no opinion is implied or should be inferred as to any other matter. Further, this opinion may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof and the Mayor of the City in providing his required certification in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

Very truly yours,

Edward N. Siskel
Corporation Counsel

SCHEDULE 1

August 25, 2017

CERTIFICATION

Commissioner
Department of Planning and Development
City of Chicago

I, David L. Reifman, am the Commissioner of the Department of Planning and Development (“DPD”) of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the “City”) and, in such capacity, I am the head of DPD. I am also the TIF Administrator for the City for purposes of the Report (defined below). In such capacity, I am providing this Certification for the Corporation Counsel of the City to rely upon in connection with the opinion required by either Section 11-74.4-5(d)(4) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq. (the “Act”), or by Section 11-74.6-22(d)(4) of the Industrial Jobs Recovery Law, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-1 et seq. (the “Law”), as the case may be, in connection with the submission of an annual report (the “Report”) containing the information required by Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Act or Section 11-74.6-22(d) of the Law for each of the Redevelopment Project Areas listed in Section 1 of the Report and hereby incorporated into this Certification (the “Redevelopment Project Areas”).

I hereby certify the following to the Corporation Counsel of the City:

1. DPD has overall responsibility for and is familiar with the activities in each of the Redevelopment Project Areas. DPD personnel are familiar with the requirements of the Act and the Law and are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance of the City’s Department of Law with respect to legal issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Act and the Law.
2. DPD personnel have monitored compliance with the requirements of the Act and the Law during the previous fiscal year under my supervision and to my reasonable satisfaction in connection with each of the Redevelopment Project Areas.
3. I have reviewed, or caused to be reviewed by DPD personnel to my reasonable satisfaction, each certified audit report, to the extent such an audit report is required to be obtained by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(9) of the Act or by Section 11-74.6-22(d)(9) of the Law and submitted as part of the Report, which is required to review compliance with the Act or the Law in certain respects, to determine if such audit report contains information that might affect this Certification.
4. I have also reviewed, or caused to be reviewed by DPD personnel to my reasonable satisfaction, such other documents and records as I have deemed reasonably necessary to enable me to provide this Certification.

5. Nothing has come to my attention that would result in my need to qualify this Certification, except for the current, ongoing compliance issues within certain of the Redevelopment Project Areas, which issues are set forth and briefly explained in the Exception Schedule attached hereto as Exhibit A. With respect to these compliance issues, DPD staff continues to monitor and work with the owners and property managers of the projects noted on Exhibit A to correct the issues and bring these projects into full compliance with the Act and the Law.

Based on the foregoing, I hereby certify to the Corporation Counsel of the City that, in all material respects, DPD has taken the appropriate actions to ensure that the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Act and the Law in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to each of the Redevelopment Project Areas.

This Certification is given in an official capacity and not personally and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Further, this Certification may be relied upon only by the Corporation Counsel of the City in providing the required legal opinion in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

Very truly yours,



David L. Reifman
Commissioner
Department of Planning and Development

EXHIBIT A TO SCHEDULE 1
Exception Schedule

| TIF Area | | Project Name | Ongoing Compliance Issues as of the Date of this Certification, with Brief Explanation |
|----------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. | 24 th /Michigan | Hilliard Homes II | 4 units out of 327 affordable units have rents that exceed the applicable maximum |
| 2. | 35 th /State | Stateway Gardens Ph. I | 5 out of 25 affordable for-sale units were not completed |
| 3. | Archer Courts | Archer Courts Ph. II | * |
| 4. | Bronzeville | Pershing Courts | 5 units out of 80 affordable units have rents that exceed the applicable maximum |
| 5. | Central West | Horner Homes Ph. IIA1 Low-rise | * |
| | | Horner Homes Ph. IIA2 Mid-rise | * |
| 6. | Chicago/Central Park | Rosa Parks Apartments | 5 units out of 94 affordable units have rents that exceed the applicable maximum |
| 7. | Division Homan | La Estancia | 5 units out of 57 affordable units have rents that exceed the applicable maximum |
| 8. | Drexel Boulevard | Jazz on the Boulevard | 3 units out of 39 affordable units have rents that exceed the applicable maximum |
| 9. | Fullerton/Milwaukee | Zapata Apartments | * |
| 10. | Hollywood/Sheridan | Hollywood House Apartments | 37 units out of 177 affordable units have rents that exceed the applicable maximum |
| 11. | Lakefront | Lake Park Crescent – Ph. I For-Sale | * |
| 12. | Madden Wells | Madden Wells 1A For-Sale | 8 units out of 27 not completed |
| | | Madden Wells 1A Rental | 6 units out of 163 affordable units have rents that exceed the applicable maximum |

| | | | |
|-----|---------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | Madden Wells 1B Rental | 9 units out of 162 affordable units have rents that exceed the applicable maximum |
| | | Madden Wells 2A Rental | 39 units out of 142 affordable units have rents that exceed the applicable maximum |
| 13. | Midwest | Rockwell West End – Ph. IIA Rental – East Lake Apts. | 3 units out of 98 affordable units have rents that exceed the applicable maximum |
| | | Renaissance Place Apts. | 11 units out of 54 affordable units have rents that exceed the applicable maximum |
| 14. | Pershing/King | Paul G. Stewart Tower 1 & 2 Rehab | * |
| | | Paul G. Stewart Tower 5 Rehab | * |

* Owner has not supplied incomes of affordable units' purchasers to allow the City to verify that TIF funds were used for the 50% cost of construction of affordable units, which cost is allowed by the Act and was required by the respective redevelopment agreements. DPD continues to work with the owners and property managers to obtain the required information and to ensure compliance with the Act.

SCHEDULE 2

(Exception Schedule)

No Exceptions

Note the following Exceptions:

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
ARMITAGE/PULASKI
REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

FINANCIAL REPORT

DECEMBER 31, 2016

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
ARMITAGE/PULASKI REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

C O N T E N T S

| | <u>Page</u> |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION | 1-2 |
| Management's discussion and analysis | 3-5 |
| Statement of net position and governmental fund balance sheet | 6 |
| Statement of activities and governmental fund revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance | 7 |
| Notes to financial statements | 8-10 |
| SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION | |
| Schedule of expenditures by statutory code | 11 |

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Rahm Emanuel, Mayor
Members of the City Council
City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Armitage/Pulaski Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Project's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

The financial statements present only the Armitage/Pulaski Redevelopment Project and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2016, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Armitage/Pulaski Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2016, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 3-5 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The Schedule of Expenditures by Statutory Code is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, such information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Bansley and Kiener, L.L.P.

Certified Public Accountants

June 24, 2017

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
ARMITAGE/PULASKI REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
(UNAUDITED)

As management of the Armitage/Pulaski Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area (Project), we offer the readers of the Project's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the Project's financial performance for the year ended December 31, 2016. Please read it in conjunction with the Project's financial statements, which follow this section.

Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the Project's basic financial statements. The Project's basic financial statements include three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) governmental fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplementary information concerning the Project's expenditures by statutory code.

Basic Financial Statements

The basic financial statements include two kinds of financial statements that present different views of the Project – the *Government-Wide Financial Statements* and the *Governmental Fund Financial Statements*. These financial statements also include the notes to the financial statements that explain some of the information in the financial statements and provide more detail.

Government-Wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements provide both long-term and short-term information about the Project's financial status and use accounting methods similar to those used by private-sector companies. The statement of net position includes all of the project's assets and liabilities. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are accounted for in the statement of activities regardless of when cash is received or paid. The two government-wide statements report the Project's net position and how they have changed. Net position – the difference between the Project's assets and liabilities – is one way to measure the Project's financial health, or position.

Governmental Fund Financial Statements

The governmental fund financial statements provide more detailed information about the Project's significant funds – not the Project as a whole. Governmental funds focus on: 1) how cash and other financial assets can readily be converted to cash flows and 2) the year-end balances that are available for spending. Consequently, the governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view that helps determine whether there are more financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance the Project. Because this information does not encompass the additional long-term focus of the government-wide statements, we provide additional information at the bottom of the statements to explain the relationship (or differences) between them.

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
ARMITAGE/PULASKI REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
(UNAUDITED)
(Continued)

Notes to the Financial Statements

The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and governmental fund financial statements. The notes to the financial statements follow the basic financial statements.

Other Supplementary Information

In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents a schedule of expenditures by statutory code. This supplementary information follows the notes to the financial statements.

Condensed Comparative Financial Statements

The condensed comparative financial statements are presented on the following page.

Analysis of Overall Financial Position and Results of Operations

Property tax revenue for the Project was \$46,834 for the year. This was an increase of 42 percent over the prior year. The change in net position produced a decrease in net position of \$162,691. The Project's net position decreased by 16 percent from the prior year making available \$865,176 of funding to be provided for purposes of future redevelopment in the Project's designated area. Expenses increased this year due to the Project's formulation of a redevelopment plan or necessary funding was substantially complete and available.

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
ARMITAGE/PULASKI REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
(UNAUDITED)
(Concluded)

Government-Wide

| | <u>2016</u> | <u>2015</u> | <u>Change</u> | <u>% Change</u> |
|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Total assets | \$ 1,008,129 | \$ 1,130,299 | \$(122,170) | -11% |
| Total liabilities | <u>142,953</u> | <u>102,432</u> | <u>40,521</u> | 40% |
| Total net position | <u>\$ 865,176</u> | <u>\$ 1,027,867</u> | <u>\$(162,691)</u> | -16% |
| | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 47,306 | \$ 36,027 | \$ 11,279 | 31% |
| Total expenses | <u>209,997</u> | <u>103,104</u> | <u>106,893</u> | 104% |
| Changes in net position | <u>(162,691)</u> | <u>(67,077)</u> | <u>(95,614)</u> | -143% |
| Ending net position | <u>\$ 865,176</u> | <u>\$ 1,027,867</u> | <u>\$(162,691)</u> | -16% |

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
ARMITAGE/PULASKI REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

STATEMENT OF NET POSITION AND
GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE SHEET
DECEMBER 31, 2016

| <u>ASSETS</u> | <u>Governmental Fund</u> | <u>Adjustments</u> | <u>Statement of Net Position</u> |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Cash and investments | \$ 945,422 | \$ - | \$ 945,422 |
| Property taxes receivable | 45,122 | - | 45,122 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 17,585 | - | 17,585 |
| Total assets | <u>\$ 1,008,129</u> | <u>\$ -</u> | <u>\$ 1,008,129</u> |
| <u>LIABILITIES AND DEFERRED INFLOWS</u> | | | |
| Vouchers payable | \$ 2,724 | \$ - | \$ 2,724 |
| Due to other City funds | 5,118 | - | 5,118 |
| Other accrued liability | 135,111 | - | 135,111 |
| Total liabilities | <u>142,953</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>142,953</u> |
| Deferred inflows | 35,681 | (35,681) | - |
| <u>FUND BALANCE/NET POSITION</u> | | | |
| Fund balance: | | | |
| Restricted for future redevelopment project costs | <u>829,495</u> | (829,495) | - |
| Total liabilities, deferred inflows and fund balance | <u>\$ 1,008,129</u> | | |
| Net position: | | | |
| Restricted for future redevelopment project costs | | <u>865,176</u> | <u>865,176</u> |
| Total net position | | <u>\$ 865,176</u> | <u>\$ 865,176</u> |

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because:

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Total fund balance - governmental fund | \$ 829,495 |
| Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available. | <u>35,681</u> |
| Total net position - governmental activities | <u>\$ 865,176</u> |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
ARMITAGE/PULASKI REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND GOVERNMENTAL FUND REVENUES, EXPENDITURES
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016

| | <u>Governmental Fund</u> | <u>Adjustments</u> | <u>Statement of Activities</u> |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| Revenues: | | | |
| Property tax | \$ 50,999 | \$ (4,165) | \$ 46,834 |
| Interest | 472 | - | 472 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total revenues | 51,471 | (4,165) | 47,306 |
| Expenditures/expenses: | | | |
| Economic development projects | 209,997 | - | 209,997 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Excess of expenditures over revenues | (158,526) | 158,526 | - |
| Change in net position | - | (162,691) | (162,691) |
| Fund balance/net position: | | | |
| Beginning of year | 988,021 | 39,846 | 1,027,867 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| End of year | <u>\$ 829,495</u> | <u>\$ 35,681</u> | <u>\$ 865,176</u> |

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:

| | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Net change in fund balance - governmental fund | \$ (158,526) |
| Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available. | <u>(4,165)</u> |
| Change in net position - governmental activities | <u>\$ (162,691)</u> |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
ARMITAGE/PULASKI REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

(a) *Reporting Entity*

In June 2007, the City of Chicago (City) established the Armitage/Pulaski Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area (Project). The area has been established to finance improvements, leverage private investment and create and retain jobs. The Project is accounted for within the special revenue funds of the City.

(b) *Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements*

The accompanying financial statements of the Project have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles as prescribed by the Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB). GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application* ("GASB 72"), addresses accounting and financial reporting issues related to fair value measurements. GASB 72 was implemented by the City beginning with its year ending December 31, 2016. This Statement provides guidance for determining a fair value measurement for financial reporting purposes and the related disclosures. This Statement requires a government to use valuation techniques that are appropriate under the circumstances and for which sufficient data are available to measure fair value. This Statement establishes a hierarchy of inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. This Statement also requires disclosures to be made about fair value measurements, the level of fair value hierarchy and valuation techniques.

GASB Statement No. 77, *Tax Abatement Disclosures* ("GASB 77"), requires governments that enter into tax abatement agreements to disclose: (1) brief descriptive information concerning the agreement; (2) the gross dollar amount of taxes abated during the period; and (3) commitments made by government, other than to abate taxes, that are part of the tax abatement agreement. GASB 77 was implemented by the City beginning with its year ending December 31, 2016. In 2016, the Project made no tax abatement payments to a developer.

(c) *Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statements Presentation*

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the *accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied.

The governmental fund financial statements are prepared on the *modified accrual basis of accounting* with only current assets and liabilities included on the balance sheet. Under *the modified accrual basis of accounting*, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual, i.e., both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Available means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Property taxes are susceptible to accrual and recognized as a receivable in the year levied. Revenue recognition is deferred unless the taxes are received within 60 days subsequent to year-end. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Private-sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued prior to December 1, 1989, generally are followed in government-wide financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The City has elected not to follow subsequent private-sector guidance.

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
ARMITAGE/PULASKI REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Continued)

Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

(c) *Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statements Presentation (Concluded)*

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Accordingly, actual results could differ from these estimates.

(d) *Assets, Liabilities and Net Position*

Cash and Investments

Cash being held by the City is generally deposited with the City Treasurer as required by the Municipal Code of Chicago. The City Comptroller issues warrants for authorized City expenditures which represent a claim for payment when presented to the City Treasurer. Payment for all City warrants clearing is made by checks drawn on the City's various operating bank accounts.

The City Treasurer and City Comptroller share responsibility for investing in authorized investments. Interest earned and fair market value adjustments on pooled investments are allocated to participating funds based on their average combined cash and investment balances. Since investment income is derived from pooled investments, the fair value measurement and fair value hierarchy disclosures of the newly adopted GASB 72 will not be separately presented in a note disclosure.

The City values its investments at fair value or amortized cost. U.S. Government securities purchased at a price other than par with a maturity of less than one year are recognized at amortized cost.

Deferred Inflows

Deferred inflows represent deferred property tax revenue amounts to be recognized as revenue in future years in the governmental fund financial statements.

Capital Assets

Capital assets are not capitalized in the governmental fund but, instead, are charged as current expenditures when purchased. The Government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net position and the statement of changes in net position) of the City includes the capital assets and related depreciation, if any, of the Project in which ownership of the capital asset will remain with the City (i.e. infrastructure, or municipal building). All other construction will be expensed in both the government-wide financial statements and the governmental fund as the City nor Project will retain the right of ownership.

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
ARMITAGE/PULASKI REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Concluded)

Note 1 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Concluded)

(e) *Stewardship, Compliance and Accountability*

Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act Compliance

The Project's expenditures include reimbursements for various eligible costs as described in subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act and the Redevelopment Agreement relating specifically to the Project. Eligible costs include but are not limited to survey, property assembly, rehabilitation, public infrastructure, financing and relocation costs.

Reimbursements

Reimbursements, if any, are made to the developer for project costs, as public improvements are completed and pass City inspection.

Note 2 – Commitments

As of December 31, 2016, the Project has entered into contracts for approximately \$77,000 for services and construction projects.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
ARMITAGE/PULASKI REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES BY STATUTORY CODE

Code Description

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Costs of studies, surveys, development of plans and specifications, implementation and administration of the redevelopment plan including but not limited to staff and professional service costs for architectural, engineering, legal, marketing | \$ 10,475 |
| Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction or repair or remodeling of existing public or private buildings and fixtures | 70,451 |
| Costs of the construction of public works or improvements | <u>129,071</u> |
| | <u><u>\$ 209,997</u></u> |



O'HARE PLAZA
8745 WEST HIGGINS ROAD
SUITE 200
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60631

TEL: (312) 263-2700
FAX: (312) 263-6935
WWW.BK-CPA.COM

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Rahm Emanuel, Mayor
Members of the City Council
City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial statements of Armitage/Pulaski Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, which comprise the statement of net position and governmental fund balance sheet as of December 31, 2016, and the related statement of activities and governmental fund revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and we have issued our report thereon dated June 24, 2017.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the Project failed to comply with the regulatory provisions in Subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act and Subsection (o) of Section 11-74.6-10 of the Illinois Industrial Jobs Recovery Law as they relate to the eligibility for costs incurred incidental to the implementation of the Armitage/Pulaski Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois.

However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the Project's noncompliance with the above referenced regulatory provisions, insofar as they relate to accounting matters.

This report is intended for the information of the City of Chicago's management. However, this report is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

Bansley and Kiener, L.L.P.

Certified Public Accountants

June 24, 2017